

# DATA STABLES



*by Miles Mathis*

*June 7, 2026*

The leading news today is that

**Google has agreed to pay SpaceX \$920 million per month for AI compute capacity through June 2029, according to the space-tech company's filing with the US Securities and Exchange Commission.**

**The arrangement is worth almost \$30 billion over the full period and covers the rental of "approximately 110,000 NVIDIA GPUs, CPUs, memory, and other related components," Business Insider reported on Friday.**

That makes absolutely no sense, since why would Google need to rent GPUs and memory from SpaceX, for almost a billion a month? All these companies are just government fronts and mists, so it looks to me like this is just a fiction dreamed up to explain a (fake) movement of assets from Google to SpaceX, to prop up SpaceX. And why would they need to do that? Amazing, they tell you why in the same article:

**The space company, which merged with Elon Musk's X and xAI in January, generated nearly \$4.7 billion in revenue and lost almost \$4.3 billion in the first quarter of 2026. The AI agreements have become a major selling point ahead of the company's highly anticipated \$1.77 trillion public listing next week.**

So SpaceX lost \$4.3 billion in three months right before a big public listing, so Google rides in and claims to pay them a billion a month for three years, just in time to save it? That's convenient. Except that Google is buying something from SpaceX that Google should have far more of than SpaceX to start with. Why would SpaceX have more compute capacity than Google itself? Are you paying attention? That would be like Hersheys buying chocolate from Toys R Us.

Also curious that this is reported just [two days after KORU lost more than 40% in one day on Friday](#), due to AI jitters. The entire AI scam is going off a cliff so Google pretends it is paying SpaceX a billion a month for something it doesn't need? Could this be a more obvious fake? I can't think of a more obvious fake . . . other than maybe the photo above, which is supposed to be a data center in Tennessee. In other big news today, New York just passed a moratorium on data centers, and that [photo appeared at the top of the story](#). Do you see a problem? Doesn't look much like a big data center, does it? Looks like CGI to me, since the pole shadows don't make any sense, but even if they started with a real image and manipulated it, those buildings look more like stables, don't they? Look closely at the middle areas, which look like horse stables. You can even see the windows in the stalls! But they figure you are so blind you will just accept pictures of stables as data centers.

From now on we can call them data stables.