



by Miles Mathis

April 17, 2024

Lots of strangeness afoot in the news today, as usual, but most of it is barely worth commenting on. Just the usual misdirection and mindstir with fictional events being sold as real. But as an artist, portrait painter, and painter of women, I can tell you [that “photo” above](#) really disturbed me. No, it isn't because they are all super tall and skinny. It is because it is AI generated, being the lead illustration to announce a “Miss AI” beauty pageant. That is icky enough by itself, but it gets worse when you study that image more closely. Those are some scary ladies, right? Even the best-drawn of them look like Stepford Wives. But if you zoom in, even the ones in the front row are way off in some way. The one in the middle in blue has something very wrong with her mouth, indicating the computer is only vaguely familiar with the human face. The next one to your right is fouled up in both mouth and eyes, and the next one is even worse. By the time we get to the two on the end, we are looking at monsters. And it gets worse as you go back. Behind the front row are just a bunch of zombies, with their faces rotting off. Some of them have one eye or three, two noses, or a mouth like a remora. Let's hope they don't put these computers in charge of designer kids, or we are going to have a complete meltdown.

That image was create by Microsoft Image Creator, but we are not sure on what command. Maybe “zombie apocalypse pageant”?

Another thing jumped out at me today, and that was clip of Dr. Phil pushing back against Fauci and Covid protocol on his show. I had no idea he was still on TV, and even less that Dr. Phil was pushing back against Fauci and Pfizer (though not by name). Why would I, since I haven't had a TV since 1992? I was pleasantly surprised to see him doing this, and even more surprised they haven't booted him off the air yet. I guess that is coming tomorrow. He looked like he expected it to come tomorrow, since I was shocked at how sedate and gloomy he is now. I remember him speaking like he was juiced on bennies—loud and confident—and now he sounds like he has switched to Valium. Slow, unsteady, and with a big sadness in his eyes. Maybe he is just old, but he looks vaccine-damaged himself. Remember, [just two years ago he was recommending the vaccine](#), saying

“I'm not an infectious disease expert. I encourage people to get the vaccine because I think it's a selfless thing to do," he says. "The only reason people should listen to me is because I refer them to the scientists, and if what I say doesn't withstand the test of science, then throw it out.”

But now [he is saying the opposite](#): **we shouldn't rely on science and the government**, since they utterly failed us last time. It looks to me like the vaccine failed him personally, and that he has become aware of that.

My guess is that was his last show. He is 74 and has a lot of money in the bank, so he has very little to lose by stepping up his attack on this. The big boys don't want to see that, so they will yank him immediately. But of course he can then go on Twitter or Substack. It could be interesting, somewhat like the J. K. Rowling pushback on trannies. The Phoenicians are seeing some of their own people switch sides, and it isn't so easy to bury a Rowling or a Dr. Phil.

I just learned something very disturbing about the Masters, and indeed about a lot of golf courses. Did you know they have been piping in bird sounds for the CBS broadcast for many decades, to make it sound like the course is full of happy birds? Why would they do that? To hide the fact there aren't any birds on the course at all, happy or otherwise. Why? Because they are all dead. The course is so toxic they all dropped dead. They also add blue dye to the ponds, which probably kills all the fish as well. The place is like Disneyland.

Why is the course so toxic? The usual: herbicides and pesticides. The rich guys don't want flies and mosquitoes bothering them while they are hacking it around, and they can't have any weeds, either, so they have nuked the whole place. Same thing they do with their homes, where Orkin or Terminix comes in every months and hoses the places down with poison. Plus, golf courses are irrigated with effluent, and have been for decades. That's just toxic sewage. We are told the effluent used on golf courses is treated, but they don't tell us exactly how. If it were fully treated, it wouldn't be effluent anymore, so it is only partially treated. They euphemistically call it gray water, but it is just wastewater, so it will contain anything people or industry puts down the drain. Which is pretty much everything, including excrement, drugs, paint, thinners, oil, plastics, heavy metals, and chemicals of all kinds. Do you think they filter it for all that? Of course not. They mainly just “disinfect” it, which of course they do with. . . yep, more chemicals.

I am old enough to remember a time when a kid could clean a golfball by popping it in his

mouth to wet it and then wiping it on a towel. The only thing you tasted was grass. But I remember my Dad warning me not to do that anymore in the late 1970s, and I already wasn't doing it because the taste had changed. It was like licking a battery. Dad told me it was due to effluent, but I didn't know what that was and didn't ask. Most people don't. Most people go through their lives never asking any questions at all. Or used to. Now they may know that effluent is wastewater, but they never really ask themselves what that entails. How dangerous is it? Best not to ask. You are supposed to believe that if it was really dangerous, the grass would die, right? But no, there are a lot of things grass can tolerate that birds and bees and people can't.

Which all goes to say, if you are one of those people who wore a mask during Covid (*of course you aren't, you are one of my readers*) you may want to wear a mask and gloves next time you play golf. Actually, you may want to wear a full hazmat suit. You can keep that suit on anytime you visit the homes of the rich, the offices of the rich, fancy hotels, expensive retreats, cruise liners, malls, and so on.

But seriously, the US has a fatal fascination with poison, and it isn't just the rich. Modern civilization is drenched in poisons of a million varieties, and it isn't just killing the birds, bees, fish, and frogs. It is killing us, too, or morphing us into an autistic breeding ground for cancer and every other malady known and unknown. We are way behind schedule for figuring this out and banning all these poisons, chemicals, and plastics. The recent move against Roundup is a good start, but it is just a drop in the bucket.

Biden just called for raising taxes on the rich and all the alternative and “conservative” sites like Breitbart, Infowars, Gateway Pundit, and Zerohedge are in a tizzy. Showing us where their loyalty really lies. Some wonder why I call myself a liberal, but you are about to see why again. I am not a democratic party liberal or progressive, obviously. I have no use for Biden. I am an old-school liberal, in favor of protecting the little guy from rapine by the rich. OF COURSE the rich need to be taxed more and the poor and middle taxed less! And that is just a start. So many other things need to be done as well, starting with enforcing existing laws against collusion by the wealthy and re-regulating big business, including the banks and huge investment groups. The income inequality in this country was always obscene, but it is now just criminal. Such regulation isn't Communism or Socialism, though that is what these people always try to tell you. It is just the enforcement of sensible laws against racketeering, money laundering, and worldwide theft.

Get this through your head: ENFORCING LAWS AGAINST THEFT IS NOT COMMUNISM! It is simply the foundation of a civil society. The very rich are now getting away with mass pillaging, it is that simple. Government is no longer government, it is just a vast front for and shakedown by the very rich. We used to have crony capitalism, but now we don't even have that, the economy just being a monstrous vaudeville in which you pay for a million things you aren't getting. Fake space programs, fake nuclear programs, fake anti-terrorism programs, fake security, fake bombs, missiles and planes, fake wars, and fake research in a thousand fake fields. And the few things that are being delivered no one wants: fake school shootings put on by thousands of agents, fake BLM parades and riots, fake Antifa, fake tranny programs run to

cause chaos, 24/7 fake news, and a very real invasion by illegal immigrants. You are paying the salaries of millions of agents who have nothing better to do than run psyops on you all day and all year. A lot of them are in the Department of Defense, residing on AFBs, since, like the CIA and FBI, these people have nothing else to do. There is no real mafia, no terrorism, and no real wars, but these people have to justify their paychecks somehow. **So they have declared war on you.** As the taxpayer, you have been tapped to underwrite an entire Matrix of lies and fictional events, most of them with you as the target. You are paying these people to attack you, steal from you, and ultimately destroy you and yours.

If you could stop all those programs, your taxes would go WAY down. Defense could be cut by 90% and we would still be outspending China. Intel could be cut by 98% and no one would miss it. Mental health would skyrocket. Banker welfare could be immediately ended. Meaning, private banks shouldn't be loaning money to the treasury at interest, and shouldn't be in control of the Federal Reserve. Banking should be nationalized, like the post office. It should be a service, not a scheme of profit. The space program should be scaled back to reality, ditto for the rest of science. Outside NASA, science funding is pretty low: it just needs to be redirected into real programs instead of fake ones. As for the arts, they are actually underfunded, which—with Modernism—was fine with everyone, including me. But real art should be brought back and refunded.

If we reformed society on those foundations, we wouldn't have to tax the rich more, since they would lose most of their methods of theft. It is illogical to let them steal and then try to steal some of it back through taxes. Better to keep them from stealing in the first place, right?

I guess you can see that those ideas have absolutely nothing to do with Communism. As I have shown you, Communism was invented by the Capitalists as a diversion. It was the controlled opposition, sold by their own guy Marx: a guaranteed-to-fail idea that just pretended to go too far while actually not going nearly far enough. Because the Capitalists invented it, they were able to frame the whole argument to benefit themselves, keeping your eyes off the real solutions. At the worst, you were supposed to consider things like taxation of the rich or regulation of business. When the real solution is the one I just gave you: outlaw the entire scheme of theft from the start. If you have no banks for profit*, you don't need to regulate them or tax rich bankers, do you? No, theft doesn't need to be regulated, it needs to be outlawed, doesn't it? Actually, it already is, but it simply isn't enforced against many sectors, like defense, intel, and banking. Theft has been cleverly normalized by the thieves.

One of the ways they normalize theft and other crimes is through language. They have long encouraged the use of euphemisms, whereby you aren't supposed to call liars liars or thieves thieves. You were expected to couch everything in conciliatory language, either to avoid lawsuits or just to be politic. But now they have graduated to the next level: destroying language at its roots by breaking the link from signifier to signified. You aren't supposed to call mothers mothers anymore, though I am still not sure why. You aren't supposed to call immigrants immigrants. You aren't supposed to call women women or blacks blacks or Chinese Chinese, all because . . . someone might be triggered. All the correct definitions of words are now triggering, I guess, and the next step is removing all dictionaries from libraries. Dictionaries are just so suprematist, you know? So white male.

And why is this being done? I think it is being done mainly so that words like “thief” and “liar” and “criminal” can no longer be used for the usual suspects. They hope to outlaw their

own prosecution via killing the language. If you can't talk in court, it is sort of hard to argue a case. They want us all stammering and searching for words instead of throwing them all from a high cliff.

I know some will write in and scream at me: “this is your solution and you claim you aren't a Communist! Hah! Etc etc.” But no, I am not a Communist, nothing like it. No one is, since Communism isn't even a real thing. It was invented by the Capitalists as controlled opposition, to get your mind off the **Democratic Republic** this country was supposed to be. We were taught it was as kids, but it was never was. It was hijacked at birth by the very rich and has never been anything other than a cloaked plutocracy. But if you will remember, the founding fathers—even though they were rich—didn't want private bankers running the country. Like me here, they argued for a nationalized bank, which would act as a public service, and through which any profits would return to the treasury and the people—not be siphoned off by a cabal of crooks. But the capitalists would prefer you forget that. They want to label any opposition as Communist. They want you to forget that the historical opposition to Capitalism wasn't Communism, but Republicanism. That is to say, the historical opposition to private bankers running the country was composed of those who wanted elected representatives of the people running the country. *Elected representatives of the people running the country is not Communism.*

You will say we have that, but we don't. We only have the pretense of it: a cardboard cut-out Congress and President that put on a noisy show but that are completely owned by the plutocrats. It is all marionette theater. All those people on both sides are just stooges, which makes voting meaningless. Even if voting hadn't also been stolen by the same people, it wouldn't matter: the government is owned, so who are you going to vote for? That's why I say voting won't solve anything, given the current system. Unless we find a way to free Congress from the ties that bind it, nothing will ever change. The government was captured long ago, so there are no real representatives. We should quit calling them that. They are nothing but actors and pawns. Sell-outs to the bankers, defense contractors, energy producers, and other fatcats.

Our only hope is a series of grassroots State conventions, like big caucuses, which would recall all “representatives” in Washington and replace them with real representatives. At the same time we would shutter both parties, ban lobbying, and get all money out of politics. I don't really see that happening in my lifetime, but if things collapse for other reasons, there may be an opening at some point in the future. If the Phoenix burns up and all these creeps flee for their island bunkers, there will be work to do.

*Profit is fine: the grocery store makes a profit. But that obviously isn't what I am talking about. I am talking about usury and other “investment” schemes, whereby the banks profit without providing a service or doing any work. All that sort of speculation should simply be outlawed. It ends up creating these corrupt billionaires who create “foundations” and utterly destroy society with their greed.