

# THE GREATEST CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY

*by Miles Mathis*

*First published March 18, 2021*

Many are calling the Coronafake the greatest crime against humanity of all time. Is it? Not even close. It is certainly a great crime against humanity, shocking in its transparency, but it isn't even the greatest one now being pushed. In the past century, it barely makes the top ten. Unless they make it permanent, it can't even compete with the purposeful destruction of art, the purposeful destruction of beauty, the purposeful destruction of music, the purposeful destruction of youth, the purposeful destruction of food, the purposeful destruction of air and water, the purposeful destruction of family, or the purposeful destruction of science. It is just a part of the purposeful destruction of health, which definitely puts it somewhere in the top ten, but by itself it hardly qualifies. Only as part of that larger theme does it perhaps leapfrog some of these others.

But even then, it isn't the biggest crime against humanity ever, or even the biggest crime against humanity currently being featured. That would be . . . **the purposeful destruction of sex and sexuality.**

I sometimes get questions about the tranny project, but I can't answer them except in this way, by including the question of trannies in this larger umbrella project of destroying sex. Transsexuality and sister issues are usually sold as a continuation of the liberation project of the 1960s, tied to feminism, gay rights, and human rights. But that is all a smokescreen, since none of this is occurring naturally. It isn't any form of progress, which is why I can consider myself a liberal and progressive while still being against it. Transsexuality is just a part of a much larger project, and I use the word project on purpose: it is another CIA project, founded and funded by the Phoenician Navy for specific purposes. There is no doubt about that, and you have to be dead asleep not to see it.

Primarily, sex and sexuality are being destroyed to control population growth. Someone thought making us all confused little eunuchs, chopping off our dicks and breasts, would be an efficient way of getting the world population down fast, and it may work. But there are many other goals here as well: the destruction of masculinity lowers the threat of revolution; specific groups can be targeted, such as the poor or the financially useless, making this a form of mass eugenics; and it can also be used by the Phoenicians to target a more general enemy—Gentiles. By giving the ruling class a pass on this sexual destruction, their people—whoever they are—will be given a breeding advantage. Knowing this project is a fake, they will be able to avoid it while the rest of us are decimated.

Ultimately, this project will fail, since the rulers aren't just targeting us here, they are targeting Nature. They can't win against Nature. In the long run she is sure to win. But they can cause incredible amounts of chaos and harm, and already are. They can't destroy sexuality, but they can pervert it and suppress it, using it as a weapon against their targets.

Seen in that light, perhaps you can understand that there is no such thing as transsexuality. It is just another mass theater project of Intelligence, complete with a bag of stupid new terms and rules you are expected to memorize. A top example would be the word “cisgender”, which means identifying as the gender you were born to. I hope you can see that “cisgendered” is just another word for “gendered”, making it redundant. In almost all cases, gender is a biological fact, not a choice. You are born

gendered, and “identification” has nothing to do with it. You can't choose to be the opposite sex anymore than you can choose to be a different color or to be a different species. You are free to *pretend* to be those things, but that is a different question. You can pretend to be a tiger or a unicorn all you want, but that doesn't make you one.

Besides, the clinical prevalence of gender dysphoria is on the order of .00005 of the total population, or five people in 100,000, so we don't really all need to learn a new vocabulary for this. If five people in 100,000 were born green, we wouldn't need to coin a new term for “born non-green” and all memorize it, would we? “Hi, I am a cis-colored human, glad to meet you!” The absurdity of the whole project passes all bounds. I can tell you that, outside this paper, I will never use the word cisgendered.

I say “in most cases”, because of course there are hermaphrodites, born mixed, and they have to choose what they wish to be to a certain extent. Being both male and female, they can be one or the other or both if they like. But there is no way we can all be treated as hermaphrodites without making a huge purposeful mess of the whole subject. Which of course is the point: we are being taught we are all hermaphrodites, for the express purpose of destroying all solidity and permanence. Nothing could be a clearer sign of Project Chaos.

And of course this makes the term cisgendered even stupider, because most of those who have gender dysphoria are hermaphrodites. In fact, what they now call intersex is far more common than gender dysphoria. About 1 in every 2000 babies is intersex, which is a lot more than you might think. That means it is ten times more common than gender dysphoria, which barely makes any sense. It would imply that only one in ten intersex people are confused about their sexuality. Which would imply that even with mixed chromosomes or genitalia, most people know who and what they are. They are either born knowing or they make a firm decision and are fine with it. For this reason, I think it would be interesting to hear what some of these non-dysphoric intersex people think about the current project. My guess is a lot of them aren't really onboard. But of course you won't hear from them. You will only hear from the ones paid to cause trouble.

But even hermaphrodites are not transsexuals. They have not switched from one sex to the other. If anyone cannot be a transsexual, it is a hermaphrodite. Being both, no switch could possibly be involved.

So when I say I don't believe in transsexuality, that is what I mean. It isn't a real movement, being no more than an another CIA project. Most of the transsexuals you are sold in the media aren't transsexuals, even by the given meaning, since they haven't had any surgery. They are just cross-dressing agents from CIA actors equity. You can believe they are real trannies if you like, but I don't. I don't believe anything I am told anymore, but especially things I am told by the media, academia, big tech, or government.

Don't bother sending me hate mail or making death threats, because I don't believe in that, either. All death threats and hate mail are generated from the same place as the tranny project: Langley and the psychological operations units on every military base in the world.

Besides, I happen to think I have a right to think what I wish, and to write it down. And I don't just *think* I have that right: I actually have that right. It is called free speech. If you are free to think you are a tranny, then how can I not be free to think you aren't? If I had species dysphoria and thought I was a dog, would you have to confirm my identity? No. My dysphoria would be my own problem, wouldn't it? What if I started identifying as a god, based on my ultimate personal freedom to do so?

Would you have to treat me as one? If I demanded worship, would you have to kneel?

No, the whole idea of government forcing people to accept CIA projects is the very definition of tyranny. Forcing people to believe in transsexuality is like forcing them to believe in the Moon landing. Which may be the next step. If you can fine people or jail them for not believing in transsexuality or the Holocaust, soon the whole world will be locked up or paying in installments for not believing in Trump's hair, Biden's nose, or Anderson Cooper's integrity. After watching the 6 o'clock news, you will be forced to sign an affidavit confirming you fully believe and accept every story, down to the weather report.

Which proves I am not transphobic. Phobic means fear, and I have no fear of transsexuals. I don't fear them, I *dislike* them, which is not the same thing. I dislike them because I am sure that most of them are CIA agents, and the rest are disgusting. I have zero interest in being around cross-dressing or genital-mutilating people, and that was true before transsexuality became a thing. I didn't wish to hang out with cross-dressers back in the 70s or 80s, so why would anything have changed for me? Am I supposed to find them more fascinating now that they have allegedly had surgery? No. They are not required to like me and I am not required to like them. I understand that a lot of people don't like me, for whatever reasons they may have. I am fine with it. I don't require they like me. I don't pretend that they are Miles-phobic. They don't fear Miles, they dislike Miles. Fine. They do their thing and I do mine. That's how life is.

You will say the difference is, I am trying to outlaw them while they aren't trying to outlaw me. They aren't denying that I exist. Hah, exactly opposite to the truth, as usual. I am not trying to outlaw anyone, I am simply refusing to fall for the project. And of course many people ARE trying to outlaw me and deny I exist. That would be the same people running the tranny project: the CIA. They deny I exist all over the web, trying to convince people I am a limited hangout, a disinfo project, or the front for a writing committee. And they *do* want to outlaw me, since they would love to de-platform me somehow, either by destroying my websites or by revoking my free speech. I am already unwelcome at Yahoo, Facebook, Youtube, Twitter, and many other places, and Google is attacking my site rankings. Trannies are being promoted like never before, while I am being censored and suppressed like no one ever before. They used to call me the New Leonardo, but I am becoming the New Galileo: the only thing left is hauling me before an Inquisition.

At any rate, I bring not peace, but a sword, and that sword isn't for poor trannies. They have been cut up enough by our society, and any real ones have my pity. I may not wish to hang with them, but my sword isn't raised against them, it is raised against those who are running the big destruction schemes against sexuality, family, art, science, and health. The trannies are victims just like me, though they may not realize it. We all are.

I don't believe in trannies, but I do believe in mental illness. All of us are now mentally ill to some degree or another, and it is because we have been brought up in a society run by psychopaths. To the extent we believe what we have been told, to that extent we are mentally ill. The umbrella project of the past century, Operation Chaos, was promulgated with the express purpose of making us mentally ill, since the mentally ill are easier to control. A growing segment of the population is mentally ill to a marked degree, and those who now think that gender is a matter of identity are among the furthest gone. I don't think that many people really believe it, since it is not believable, but with the mentally ill, what they actually believe isn't the point: it is what they *say* they believe. The governors don't care what you really believe in your heart of hearts. What matters to them is what they can make you say. They know that with enough pressure, they can make you say anything, and enough pressure has now

been applied to make a fair percentage of people say they believe gender is a matter of identity. They have to say they believe it to maintain acceptance in their group. If they are liberal like me, their group is now pushing this idea hard, and most people aren't strong enough to push back. They aren't strong enough to say, "friends, that idea was planted in our group by Intelligence, and we should tell them to stick it where the sun don't shine". They can't do that because they have been taught that sentences like that don't *sound* liberal. Liberals don't talk like that, conservatives do. Only conservatives make strong "black and white" statements like. Only conservatives are conspiracy theorists who dislike the CIA. Liberals are "nice" people who want to get along. They are squishy relativists who don't have strong opinions.

None of that is true, since liberalism has nothing to do with relativism, but these are the divisions we have been taught. The rulers have wanted to destroy liberalism, so they have purposely linked it to every weak-kneed belief in history, including relativism, conformity, equal-time, and anti-hierarchy. This guarantees that no one with any sense or strength will join the new liberal parties, assuring their self-destruction and self-immolation.

But let us return to what I just said about the governors making you say you believe things. That is a key here, and I don't want you to have missed it. Because that is how they break you. Applying this terrible pressure to you to force you to say you believe things you don't believe, in order to remain in the group, is the greatest tool they have in destroying sexuality and all these other things. The destruction of science, art, health, family, and all the other top ten would not be possible without first destroying the individual, and this is how they accomplish that. For once you have reached the point you will say you believe something you don't, you are toast. You have been broken. Your inside does not match your outside, and you remain aware of that. A raging suppressed conflict has been created, and this conflict is the source of all neurosis, psychosis, and other mental illness. You may have other internal conflicts, but this is the main one one that feeds and reinforces them all.

Sounds awful, but the rulers love it. They installed it on purpose, since it achieves many things for them. To start with, it makes you a consuming machine, consuming psychiatric and other medical products at an accelerating pace. But you become a better consumer of everything else as well, including compensating products of all kinds, including alcohol, tobacco, porn, junk food, guns, ammo, and entertainment. Being broken makes you more desperate to fit in, which makes you even more susceptible to media and all its propaganda.

As far as the trannies project goes, we know it isn't an isolated case of progressiveness or even less a natural outcome of history, because we see it arriving pre-packaged with a lot of other transparent and absurd propaganda, including—as I have already mentioned—the perversion of language. Words are being redefined, coined, and outlawed at an incredible pace. See the delisting of all kinship terms like mother, father, son, daughter, etc. What does that have to do with trannies? Nothing, but it does fit into the larger destruction-of-sex project. And notice that the destruction of kinship terms also destroys family at the same time.

They are even trying to delist all the words for "breast", like boob, tit, and all the others. Not because those words are slang or derogatory, but because they are normally applied to females. They want you to believe females don't exist anymore. The words female and male are being delisted as well. You aren't a male or a female anymore, you are a person with identity and infinite freedom—except the freedom to refuse all this shit. If you refuse it, YOU will be delisted.

Again, there isn't a chance in hell this will stick. The word projects will be forgotten as quickly and as

fully as Ebonics. But the damage it is causing won't fade so fast, since the chaos will not end. When this project fails it will be followed by another one even more asinine and more divisive, since you will remain a target until you forcibly remove the bullseye painted on your ass.

I am telling you one way to do that is to refuse all their new terms, in this field and all others. Keep using the words you have always used, the older the better. You don't need a new lingo every year. If people claim to be offended by normal words, ignore them: they are probably agents. Yes, language is always in flux, and you can always find better ways to express yourself. But that will be done by consulting an old dictionary and making some rational choices, not by letting the CIA feed you terms. I advise you to look backwards for better words, not forwards. Although that sounds reactionary or regressive, it isn't. The CIA has flipped everything, and culture is devolving at an astonishing pace, both physically and intellectually. So if you want to be smarter and more sensible, you actually have to return to the past. A lot of the words and ideas of the 19<sup>th</sup> century are far more progressive than the words and ideas now, so hit the archival books sections of Ebay and Abebooks instead of current events sections at Barnes and Noble or the *New York Times*. If you do, you may figure out how to get that target off your ass permanently.

Now I want to back up and hit one of the terms I used above: equal-time. My liberal friends will say, "What did you mean by that? You can't be liberal and be against equal-time". Oh yes I can, and I am. Liberalism has nothing to do with that. Being liberal is about being for fairness and being against predation by the ruling class. The kind of progress real liberals are interested in has nothing to do with perverting sexuality, rewriting the language, destroying all norms, destroying history, or redefining art. Nor does it have anything to do with equal-time. Equal-time was inserted by Intelligence into politics to act as a wrench in the spokes. Equal-time asserts that everyone's opinion is of equal value, and so they should be given equal time in the argument. But that is absurd. The truth is, most people's opinion isn't worth a plug nickel, since they don't know anything except what they have seen on TV. So listening to them is just a waste of time. I figured out what equal-time was about long ago, when I was working on the Nader campaign in 2000 in Massachusetts (pretty much proving I was and am a liberal). We had a lot of informal caucuses to decide what to do, and they were an awful waste of time, since every numbskull used them as an excuse to stand up and listen to him or herself grandstand and bloviate. These were people who never showed up and did any actual work, but they liked to think they were part of some revolution despite that. Those of us actually running the campaign locally knew what boobies they were, but we couldn't just tell them to shut up, because it wasn't considered "liberal" to do so. I was perfectly willing to do it, of course, but I knew my place. I was only in the second tier of those running the campaign locally, based on time spent actually working on it, so it wasn't up to me to run that show. Those in the top tier were even younger than me, getting their orders and politics from higher up—in ways unknown to me—and I felt it was up to them to set the tone, not me. I now suspect the whole campaign was infiltrated from the top down, with Nader himself being controlled opposition, but that is another story.

But equal-time is even worse than that, because it can be and *is* used by Intelligence to derail real revolutions. I thought at the time that some of those people standing up and going on and on about nothing were actual agents, inserted to waste our time and energy on purpose, and I still believe it. It has only gotten worse since the rise of the internet. The small part of the internet that is not porn is composed of agents inserting themselves everywhere and creating confusion. This is why most low-level agents are hired: simply as a spanner in the works. They infiltrate the opposition and turn it to crud.

So I am not for equal-time at all. But I wouldn't be for it even if there were no agents involved. I am

against it in principle, since it contrary to merit and contrary to Nature. Those who know things should be talking and those who don't should be listening. Some people are teachers and some are students. Some people are leaders and most people aren't. Leaders and teachers should be talking more than students, it goes without saying. So equal-time conflicts with Nature. It is about as logical as saying that kittens should be feeding their mothers half the time, as a matter of fairness.

Real liberals are against unearned privilege, but they remain staunchly in favor of earned position and true hierarchies, since without hierarchies and leaders, Nature and human society would crumble. But the CIA has wanted you to believe that liberals are against both earned and unearned position. They want you to think liberalism has something to do with the tearing down of all hierarchies—except wealth of course—since those hierarchies are signs of paternalism, empire, race, or some other manufactured bugbear. But liberalism has nothing to do with that. Liberalism is certainly for equal *opportunity* regardless of sex or race, but the rest of these categories interest a true liberal only in the context of the ruling class. Is the ruling class ruling justly and fairly, and it is open from below? Is it spreading the fruits of society fairly? It is based on merit? If so, then there is little to complain about.

But of course it isn't, and never has been, so there is a lot to complain about. That is what we should be complaining about and lobbying against as liberals, not all these subjects and events invented by Intelligence to divert us. To make sure the revolution can't focus on that, and therefore can't ever find its footing, the rulers make certain we are always on the defense, never on the offense. The focus is forever off them and always on us. We must be always responding to their projects, so that we can never develop one of our own. Hence the constant line of assaults we face, assaults on our sexuality, health, science, art, air and water, families, and mores. We are forever mopping up after some manufactured crisis, so when would we have time to remember who we are or what we are fighting for?

You can get around this, but you have to try really hard. I am showing you how. That is what all my papers are about. You can't dodge projects if you can't spot them. I show you how to spot them and walk around them as fast as possible, wasting as little of your time as possible. I show you how to focus on the important things and ignore all the manufactured crises put in your path. I remind you who the real enemies are. And I teach you to be aware of language. Language is a tool for spotting lies, avoiding lies, and countering lies. It is the ultimate vehicle for the truth. Once you become adept at reading and listening to language, you really can spot a lie or a liar from just a few words on a page. In my recent paper on the Estonia fake, for instance, I told you that I could judge the entire event from one sentence posted at Wikipedia, and that was not a joke or a boast. My familiarity with these people and their events has bred a special kind of high contempt, but it has also bred an immediate reflex, like that of a shark to blood in the water. I can smell a hoax now from just a few molecules floating in the wind, a few pheromones of CIA sweat clinging to a page.

The trannies project is one such hoax, and the promotion of liberalism now is another. I absolutely guarantee you that what now passes for liberalism is in for a major fall very soon. Although true liberalism has long since been flipped and detooped, the rulers are still keen to any opportunity to blackwash it further. The rampant idiocy of the current “liberal” movement is no accident, and anyone invested in it should divest now. We are in for a pendulum swing that will make Nixon after the 60s or Reagan after disco look like a head nod. Censorship and totalitarianism look sort of strange right now under the “liberals”, but once the pendulum swings, the disguises are removed, and the neocons take over again, it will all jive. The censorship and fascism will make more sense then, and you will look back on the first Trump term with happy nostalgia. You will remember those carefree halcyon days when you could go to the gym, the bar, or the park without showing your papers.

Or maybe you won't. If they do this right, you *won't* remember those carefree days. You won't remember anything but how glad you are to be living in conservative American safety, free from rioters and mutating viruses and illegal aliens and Chinese aggression. In fact, you won't be *able* to remember anything but that, because your DNA will have been tweaked to block any memory before 2020. Besides, that will be before your bloodclot and your cytokine storm, causing your targeted amnesia. The part of your brain that knew the difference between liberal and conservative, male and female, up and down, will then be a black area. Except that it won't be called a black area or even a dark area. Those words won't exist.