

TRUTH 101




by Myles Mathis

First published March 31, 2025

Despite heavy interference from Google and other search engines and entities, I continue to draw [thousands of new readers](#), many of them young. People are hungry for the truth. This paper is for the young or those just getting here, or anyone else who wants it short and simple and easy to digest. They may dig deeper later, but for now they want me to skip the genealogies and piles of facts and data and historical treatises and obscure or scholarly references and just tell them what is going on and why. One said, “Pretend for a moment I don't know anything about anything. Pretend for a moment I am a sheltered 10th grader who just got here, with a good and open mind but very little knowledge of the greater world. Explain to me, in a language I can understand, what in farken floop is going on.”

OK, this is for you. You have no doubt heard something about fake news. Both sides are accusing the other side of fake news, of just making stuff up that isn't true. If you don't think they can just make stuff up and report it, see Jussie Smollett. Look him up at Wikipedia or somewhere. It is now admitted his story was fake news, since he got caught. Smollett is the black actor that was on the TV show *Empire*. He was also in *Mighty Ducks*.



On January 29, 2019, Smollett told police that he was physically attacked outside his apartment building along with the use of racial and homophobic slurs.^{[27][28][29][30]} Smollett was treated at Northwestern Memorial Hospital and was released "in good condition" later that morning.^{[27][31][32][33][34]} A subsequent police investigation found that Smollett paid two work acquaintances, who were also brothers, to stage the assault.^{[35][36]} On February 20, 2019, Smollett was charged by a grand jury with a class 4 felony for filing a false police report.

This was part of the whole BLM thing in 2019, just before Covid. So these things definitely do happen. Also see the fake 2020 kidnapping plot against Michigan governor Gretchen Whitmer, where the bozos who allegedly called themselves the Wolverine Watchmen (it is OK to laugh) were acquitted when the jury ruled the event had been run by the FBI.

Those are just a couple out of many that have had their cover blown recently in the mainstream press. But I probably don't even need to remind you that the government and media are known to be lying to us, since everyone now knows it, even little children. Trust in government, and especially the media, has been tanking for decades, and now runs at about 10%. The Covid fiasco killed the last trust we had. As I often say, those 10% must be on ventilators or must have gone down into their fallout shelters about 50 years ago, like in the movie *Blast from the Past*.

But what I discovered about 20 years ago is that the media isn't just lying to us about a few things, **it is lying to us about almost everything. And always has.** You will say that if that is true, why haven't my findings come out in the mainstream, like these other things? Very simple: **because I am nobody.** These things that come out do so because important people blow their cover. The two examples I just gave you came out because they were investigated or leaked by the other side: the other political party. Smollett was a Democratic operative and his cover was blown by the Republicans. Same for Gretchen Whitmer. The Democrats also blow the cover of some of the Republican's fake news. But in general the government has to keep a lid on these things for obvious reasons: it further erodes trust in government. They don't want us figuring any of this out because once we do it is all over for them. It is already pretty bad, but if your average citizen discovered almost everything he is taught in school is false or fake, there would be a major meltdown of some sort. The schools would all fail for a start, and

I don't just mean the universities. The public schools would all fail as well. People aren't going to send their kids to be lied to for eight hours a day.

You will say, "If that's true, why don't they just shut you down or shoot you?" Because, despite what we are told, that isn't how the world works. They want you to think it does, because it keeps you scared and therefore quiet. But that isn't how they deal with people like me, and I know because I am me. The way they actually deal with me is either by pretending I don't exist, or by surrounding me with noise. Their world is based on promotion, so they refuse to promote me. Google just refuses to list most of my papers, though we know from the search engine Yandex (which is actually based on numbers) they should be on the front page. [Go here for proof of that](#), where I have saved many screenshots. If I show up on a mainstream forum, they swamp the discussion with hundreds of screaming trolls from Langley or the Air Force Bases. Langley is homebase of CIA, if you don't know, and all military bases have covert ops units, whose job it is doing dirty work of this sort. This happened recently on the Unz forum. If that still doesn't work, they just close the discussion and delete the posts. That has happened at [several physics forums](#), and also at Wikipedia*, in the discussion pages behind the front pages. It also happens at Youtube, where comments from any of my readers on any pages are immediately deleted. It even happens at places like 4chan, showing you what is really going on there. Another way they deal with me is to promote my critics on any search for me, toplisting their responses despite them having very low or zero real numbers. So if you do a search on Miles Mathis, you get these weird promoted results above my own papers, even though my papers are viral and their papers are lonely and nearly unreadable.

One of those places is RationalWiki, some sort of CIA or government front created to blackwash any government critics. To blackwash means to slander, or paint black. There are thousands of such sites now, including Snopes, SPLC, CSICOP, the "Skeptical" societies, and so on. Most of the major magazines also do that, as we see when they try to "debunk" something. Think of *Popular Mechanics* trying and failing miserably to debunk 911 Truth. [I have pointed out that these debunkers never actually do any debunking](#), since debunking means to *prove false*. All they do is tell you someone like me is wrong or crazy, but they always forget to include any good arguments. They create a big glowing title that says "DEBUNKED", then flop around for a few paragraphs and go to lunch. [I write an 100-page PDF](#) (the length of a book) stiff with facts, data, photographs, histories, genealogies, and explosive findings, and they answer with a one-page piece of fluff that says something like, "no it's not." Either that or they attack my hair or my "ego" or the fact I once used a British word. No, really, they really did that.

You will say, "Well, if you are nobody, why should I believe you? Yes, you have a fairly impressive [bio](#), but you aren't a famous historian or physicist or anything, so what makes you so smart? How do you know this when no one else does?" You *shouldn't* believe me based on my bio or my IQ. That's not how these things should be decided, is it? There are a lot of very smart famous people lying right to your face on a daily basis, so it has nothing to do with that. In fact, you *shouldn't* believe *me*. **You should believe my evidence.** I have brought a mountain of receipts, as they now say. And how did I get them? Tips? Insider knowledge? An IQ of 300? No, I know this because I have been doing research all day every day for 20 years now. I have actually been writing for more than 30 years, but it didn't become an obsession until about 2004, when I discovered 911 Truth. I hated being lied to so much I have devoted pretty much my whole life to it since then, going farther and farther down the rabbit hole. You will say, "OK, but how did you have time to do that, if you aren't rich and connected? Most people have jobs." As I have explained before, I had a lot of free time because my primary career was as a realist artist with no family. I divorced in 1995 before having any kids. I paint very fast, and by 2003 or so I had a pretty large backlog of paintings and drawings. They were selling slowly, so I

wasn't getting rich—in fact just barely paying the bills—but it left me with a lot of free time. I write even faster than I paint, so much that I now have about 145 volumes of writing “on the shelf”. The complete works of Miles now fill about 145 books.

You will then say, “Yes, but what research? Where do you find this stuff? Some say you just make it up and others say you get it from tainted sources.” They are lying. As my readers know, I get it from their own mainstream sources. I scour the web and the history books, using their own facts and photos against them. I get it from Google, Wikipedia, Geni.com, Instantcheckmate, Worldbook, Intelius, Radaris, thepeerage.com, Britannica, geneanet, textbooks, and from peer-reviewed papers. The same place you could get it for free if you had the time and the inclination. I link you to all my online sources and footnote any books I use.

You will say, “Why would they leave all this incriminating evidence up on mainstream sources online and in major books? Isn't that illogical?” I used to ask myself the same question, and yes, it IS illogical, but you see they think we are very stupid. They don't think we can put 2 and 2 together. Taken individually, they can spin all these facts their own way. The story only starts to break down if you sweep all the distant and separate facts into a pile and start comparing them. That is what I do. I circle all the contradictions, anomalies, and impossibilities, putting them altogether next to one other in the same paper, at which point it becomes clear we are being scammed.


No one has ever done this because until I came along, everything was done by specialists. History was done by prominent historians, so the government only needed to control a few hundred people in that arena. Being a historian meant continuing to tell the old lies. Same for physics or any other science. It was controlled from the top down, and the top was controlled by the government. If you told any truths you got threatened or fired, so no one did. You don't get ahead by telling the truth, in any field. But several things came together with me: the rise of the internet, my independence from these academic fields like physics or history, my poverty and lack of family, and my ability to do research and spot clues others hadn't seen. The internet put all this information at my fingertips and my poverty made me pretty much impervious to financial attack. With no family to support and almost no money in the bank, there was no way to put pressure on me. And my abilities as an artist made me especially good at spotting visual discrepancies, either in images or words. I really am one of the best in the world at spotting a contradiction—a sort of “what doesn't belong here”. You won't know that for sure until you see me do it, so I encourage you to put that claim to the test. You can start to do that below. You may have been told that my papers are a slog, but I think you will discover otherwise. Except for some of the genealogy passages, the papers are actually very tight and entertaining; and if you have a sense of humor you won't be disappointed either. I am anything but dry.

That being so, it is clear you aren't going to be able to weigh my evidence without seeing a lot of it. That's either the bad news or the good news, depending on how you look at it. If you were hoping to come to a conclusion just from reading this, it will be bad news, because you can't. You shouldn't expect me to be able to answer all of history and everyone who ever lived in a short overview, and if I claimed to, that would be reason enough to dismiss me as a fraud. No, it is going to take a lot more reading. But I encourage you to try to see that as good news, as my readers do. They see a new paper as a treat, waiting impatiently for the next one to drop. Many have called it an addiction. I may have more binge readers than anyone now alive, so I suggest you open yourself to the sweet emotion.

But enough of that. Let me see if I can tempt you with a few previews. Among my most-read papers is [the one on the Titanic](#). It is quite long, so we will skip right to the punchline. What almost no one knows is the actual latitude of the crash site. We are told the ship crashed into an iceberg at 41.7N.

That's the same horizontal line on the globe as. . . do you want to guess? Iceland? Moscow? Oslo?

No, **Barcelona, Spain. Rome, Italy.** Moreover, the site is in the warm Gulfstream, where surface waters in April run about 60-70° F.



There hasn't been an iceberg at that latitude in April in the Atlantic since the last ice age 11,000 years ago. Iceberg alley begins more than 1500 miles north of that, or the distance from the top of Maine to the bottom of Florida. And from 1900 to 1975, there were not only no big icebergs year round all the way up to Iceland 1600 miles north of there, there was *no drifting ice at all*. That's from the *Chicago Tribune*, March 2, 1975. The Earth was in a warm period from 1880 to 1940, according to Dr. J. Murray Mitchell of NOAA, published in *National Geographic* in 1975. Which is again unfortunate for the *Titanic* story. So that scene of Leo DiCaprio freezing to death in a few hours is pure fiction.

But why? Why fake it? **It was another massive insurance fraud.** Like many people and buildings, the ship was worth more dead than alive, which made its fake death too tempting to the usual suspects behind these events. They didn't have to sink it, they just had to *say* they did and hide it in a port in Hamburg, Germany, painting over its name. They have done it many times with other famous ships.

Did you know that when they were allegedly picking up floating bodies afterwards, they only picked up ones that looked like first class passengers? I guess they only grabbed the ones with top hats. Even so, we are told no one claimed over 150 of these rich people, and they were allegedly buried in a mass grave on the coast in Nova Scotia. You might want to ask yourself if that makes any sense. No one was looking for these people? Were they mole people? I might believe that 2 or 3 third class bodies were unclaimed, but 150 first class passengers? Talk about lazy storytelling!

But wouldn't the big underwriters take a bath? Weren't they rich people? Yes, the biggest fish in the insurance companies are very rich, but they have ways to shield themselves. Before these big events they bring in thousands of minor underwriters and let them take the fall. Business as usual. The stock markets do it everyday. Wouldn't investigators figure it out? Nope, they were paid off. Same with the media, which is owned by these very rich people. They, too, print what they are told with no questions. It is all a massive inside job, from insurance companies to media to regulators and investigators to

governments.

Next, I recommend [my paper on O. J. Simpson](#), where I show that was all faked as well. Why? To incite race wars. Those running the country want you fighting amongst yourselves, rather than fighting them, so they fake these events like Simpson, Rodney King, George Floyd, and so on. They want blacks fighting whites, gays fighting straights, women fighting men, abortionists fighting right-to-lifers: anything to take the pressure off themselves. Better to incite a fake civil war than to have a real revolution. If we skip straight to the punchline in that paper, we find the high point with Nicole Brown Simpson, the blonde white wife who he allegedly murdered. We are told Simpson was jealous of her new lover, Ronald Goldman. One problem: there was no reason for Simpson to be jealous, since Nicole had always just been a beard. Meaning? Meaning **O. J. was gay**. Why do you think he was living with his pretty poolboy Kato Kaelin? Does your family's pool boy live on premises, hanging out with your Dad all day long? I didn't think so. Or, I hope not. You may want to check out Kaelin's bio. Had he ever had any girlfriends before the event? Nope. Has he had any since then? Nope. Never been seen with a woman other than his mother.

But it gets better, because Nicole just happened to have a sister who looked just like her, except she wasn't a bottle blonde. She had brown hair. Hmmm. Strangely, there are no pictures of her before 1994. Her life began exactly when Nicole's "ended".



There are pics of them allegedly together, but they are obvious fakes:



Can you believe how bad that is?

Of course there are a lot more questions to answer there, but that is why my paper is so long: I answer them all.

Another favorite paper is [the one on Sharon Tate](#), where we find the sister switch again. Did you know that Sharon had a sister Patti who looked just like her? Or at least she did after she allegedly died. Before 1969 there was no Patti, but after 1969, there she was, looking just like Sharon.



That's Patti in front. Cue *Twilight Zone* music. As a bonus, I discovered something new today, while checking to see if that image is still at Google. It is. What is new is this photo I didn't use in my

original paper, because it didn't come up then.



[I first found that at Pinterest](#), but it is up at [other places as well](#). It is tagged as Sharon's sisters Patti and Debra at Patti's wedding. But as you can see, it is a terrible paste-up, with the heads just glued in there. While the bride's face is excellent quality, the other girl's head is messed up, especially in the cheek to your left, which has been repainted. And the bodies and dresses are awful, with the bridal gown dissolving into mist. But the biggest problem is that the bride's face is that of Debra, not Patti, and the other girl doesn't resemble either one of them in the slightest. She looks more Russian and chiseled.



That's Debra, a few years earlier. An obvious match to the first girl, and obviously not the second girl. Proving that they continue to fake these things, more than a decade after my paper came out.

[We find the same thing with Amelia Earhart](#), who just happened to have a sister who looked just like her:



That's Muriel, who no one had ever heard of before 1937, but who suddenly became famous after that. There are no pictures of her with Amelia. The Air Force named its last Lockheed Electra *for Muriel*. Why would they do that? Now you know.

These people are shameless, eh? I guess they think we are blind. They love nothing more than rubbing these things in our faces over the decades and centuries.

You may think that is a pretty bold fake, but there are bolder ones. How about this one:



Who is that? [That's Queen Elizabeth I and her brother Fitzroy.](#) Instead of the sister switch, we get the brother switch. Shakespeare's famous “head switch”. Look closely. Not only do they look exactly alike, they were both bald. Fitzroy is wearing that fancy bathing cap to cover his alopecia, and Elizabeth was also famous for it. That's why she has that weird high round forehead. It's also why she shaved her eyebrows: it breaks the likeness a little bit. She also always wore those high collars, and you are about to find out why.

Fitzroy allegedly died young, but if you look closely you find there is no actual evidence of that.

Fitzroy's father-in-law, the Duke of Norfolk, gave orders that the body be wrapped in lead then taken in a closed cart for secret interment. However, his servants put the body in a straw-filled wagon. The only mourners were two attendants who followed at a distance.

That's straight from the history books. They also hid his birth and early years, to hide when he was born. Why? So that they could say he was 14 years older than Elizabeth, when he wasn't.

The boy's upbringing until the moment when he entered Bridewell Palace in June 1525 (six years following his birth) remains shrouded in confusion.

They also admit that the birth of Fitzroy was not mentioned in diplomatic dispatches or any other records of 1519. The christening is also not recorded, which is impossible to believe for the son of the King. The truth is Fitzroy was exactly the same age as Elizabeth, because he *was* Elizabeth after a certain point. He was known to be a gay crossdresser, so when it was discovered Elizabeth was barren like her sister Mary, she was replaced by her doppelganger brother Fitzroy and sent to relatives in

Germany.

You will say, “Wouldn't he—as a male—be even more barren than Elizabeth?” Yes, but he was not *sterile*, so he could have children, but of course as the father, not the mother. And he could have children for many more decades than the real Elizabeth could have, since men continue to produce viable sperm into their 70s or 80s. You can see how brilliant this plan actually was, under the circumstance. Still don't believe me? Witness this:



Notice anything odd there? Take your time. How about the pearl necklace? Why is it looped over there like that? It looks very strange doesn't it? Well, it is a clue they left for you, knowing you would miss it. I told you, it is their favorite thing, throwing clues in your face knowing they won't stick. What is in that loop, under the dress? The lowest rib, which is . . . Adam's rib. Eve was created from one of Adam's ribs, wasn't she? Just like Elizabeth was created from one of Fitzroy's ribs, you see.

I have now given you five very tasty morsels—five of literally thousands like that, and those aren't even the biggest. I could go on here indefinitely, but I promised I would keep it short and sweet. If you still think reading is boring, I don't know what to say. There is no help for you.

*See for example [my 2nd paper on Tides](#) where I talk about how the Wiki page on tides was deleted and rewritten to answer my paper.