YOU HAVE TO WATCH THIS




by Miles Mathis

October 13, 2025

This is a link to the *VAXXED* sequel by Del Bigtree called *An Inconvenient Study*. It is currently free to watch. You will NEVER take another vaccine again or allow your family or pets to be vaccinated.

In brief, what it tells you is that, after more than a century, a major vaccinated v. unvaccinated study was done by the mainstream (Dr. Marcus Zervos, Henry Ford Health Center) with a huge database of 18,500 patients over decades, and its finding was so contrary to the idea of vaccine safety it was pulled from publication by its authors, Dr. Zervos stating on camera that his career would have been over had he published it. Bigtree has now leaked the report via this film and the internet, also giving it to Congress, and all hell is breaking loose.



This is because the study found unequivocally that the vaccinated are far sicker across the board, having hugely elevated risks of autism, allergies, asthma, lupus, ADD, diabetes, and almost everything

else. And these risks aren't just elevated by a few percentage points, they are elevated by many times. In other words, your risk doesn't rise by just 10% or 20%, it rises by something like 500%. Overall, after five years, the risk was about 4 times worse for the vaccinated for chronic disease, which is a huge increase. In addition, your risk of these things if you are unvaccinated is often . . . zero. In many categories like ADD, they found zero outcomes for the unvaccinated, which didn't even allow them to do the math. You need at least one outcome in order to calculate a fraction or percentage, since you can't do a fraction with zero. If you use zero, you get an increased risk in vaccination of *infinity*. Funny if it weren't so tragic.

One thing this tells us is that the recent Trump/Kennedy press conference, blaming autism on Tylenol, was the usual whitewash. Yes, it was a step in the right direction, and the nod to the Amish was glorious, but Trump needs to do what Governor Ron DeSantis and his surgeon general in Florida are doing, no longer recommending any vaccines. In fact, they need to go much further, **outlawing** all vaccines as dangerous to the public weal. They then need to put all vaccine makers in receivership, seize all assets, and arrest all the top officers as the criminals they are.

Without a revolution that is highly unlikely, since, if you will remember, Trump recently called the top mass murderer at Pfizer, Albert Bourla, a "great great man". And he has just invested billions of your taxdollars in AstraZeneca, one of the most evil companies in the world.

I have previously expressed doubts about Bigtree, but if he is running opposition control he is doing a very bad job of it. One thing that jumps out here is that he is still selling the idea vaccines are effective, even if they aren't safe. [Though we know from the Covid vaccine they aren't effective at all.] That is troubling because of course it leaves open the possibly they will claim to fix this safety issue, then push a whole new round of novel vaccines on us. Perhaps Bigtree and his family and backers are invested in that. In fact, near the end of this documentary, he says exactly that: he wants to fix the vaccine program, not end it. Fix it how? By promoting a whole new slate of "new and improved" vaccines, even more expensive due to the necessary trials, etc, ones that he will claim don't have the side effects?

Yeah, right. Same thing they said about the "new and improved" mRNA faux-vaccines, which are EVEN WORSE than the old vaccines.

But it is difficult to believe that vaccines could ever survive this publicity, in any form, since it makes clear that the vaccine industry—and medical industry more broadly—has not only been a fraud and a theft of taxpayer money, it has been a knowing genocide. Dr. Zervos feigns ignorance, not convincingly, but he and most others in positions of power had to know what was going on. Ignorance doesn't begin to explain it. Which means people should never trust anything called a vaccine or even resembling a vaccine ever again. They shouldn't trust the CDC, the FDA, WHO, Congress, the President, or their own doctors and veterinarians ever again. They should go Amish on this question and never return.

I also note that Dr. McCullough, supposedly on our side here, says (min.1:00:29) that "despite the good intentions of the field of vaccinology, that it's backfiring on us". You cannot be serious! If we have learned anything in the past six years, it is that vaccinologists, doctors, and the government in general do not have good intentions and never did. The clear intention of everyone concerned was and is to make as much dirty money as possible, letting any amount of collateral damage slide, including a genocide and mass poisoning.

McCullough hedges a second time in the video when he implies that only numbers over 4 are

significant here, since only then will other studies of the same sort likely tell us the same thing. That isn't really a hedge, it is just an outright lie. That is a 300% increase in risk, which is fantastically large in a study of this nature. In a study done right and not fudged or pushed after the fact, *all* the numbers are significant, with any number over about 1.5 being a gigantic red flag.

I also note that Bigtree tries to break the autism-vaccine connection, by pointing out this new study only found 23 instances of autism. He takes that to mean there may not be a strong connection, but what he fails to circle is that there was only 1 instance of autism among the non-vaccinated group, which means the vaccinated were up to 9 times more likely to get autism (min. 1:01:43). Plus, autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder, and the study has a separate line for that, showing an increased risk of up to 11 times (min. 55:54). It may also be an autoimmune disorder, for which the study found an increased risk up to 25 times (min. 55:30). Which tells me this study has still been fudged on the autism line, by too narrowly defining it or something. Autism is very poorly defined and understood, so it would be quite easy to create a false narrow definition that left out many cases. Besides, it is the first place we would expect Zervos et al to fudge this data, isn't it? So we should be most suspicious of the numbers on that line.

In that way we see another possible use of Bigtree's framing, in that he never states things as they beg to be stated. He is always way too dispassionate, as all these slick films always are. Yes, he lets the sad afflicted people speak for themselves, which is heartbreaking, but we are always expecting a summation or call to arms we never get in these documentaries. This crime is so huge it calls for nothing less than an immediate uprising. All these mass murderers should immediately be arrested and tried for high crimes against humanity, convicted and thrown from some tall cliff into the sea, and good riddance. These people shouldn't fear for their jobs, they should fear for their lives in front of some Nuremberg Court or Citizens Court. Anything short of that is just a slow walk.

Instead, Bigtree and the other "big heroes" of this documentary sum up by saying we need more studies. More studies from Harvard and Kaiser Permanente and I guess Pfizer itself, years of studies to prove what is already crystal clear—something we knew before we even saw this study from Henry Ford "Health", since we have eyes: the medical industry, led by the vaccine industry, is murdering us for profit, and they couldn't care less. If we didn't know that before Covid and those deadly faux-vaccines, we know it now, and we don't need even one more study to prove it. And while those new fake studies are being done by entities profiting from this genocide, millions more children and other innocents will be maimed or die, autism will go from 1 in 28 to 1 and ten and then 1 in four, and every single person in the country will have diabetes, inflammation, and brain damage. We must all already have brain damage, or it could not have come this far.

In conjunction with this new documentary, I refer you to last month's Senate hearing, where Stanford doctor <u>Jake Scott arrived with 661 peer reviewed studies</u> that allegedly proved the safety of childhood vaccines. Unfortunately he ran into Del Bigtree's attorney Aaron Siri, who had been brought in by the other side, I assume Senator Ron Johnson. Under cross-examination, it turned out that exactly *none* of these 661 studies was relevant, since they either weren't done on childhood vaccines, didn't have a proper control group, or didn't use a placebo. Meaning they were all paid for and rigged by Big Pharma, just as you would expect. By the end it had gotten so ugly Scott was forced to admit he hadn't even looked at all the studies before including them.