

CHRISTCHURCH PART 2



by Miles Mathis

First published March 23, 2019

My opinion, as usual, still protected by the US Constitution. I am free to do research and to publish, and so are New Zealanders and Australians, despite the bluffs from Ardern and others.

“Uncle Owen” will be back with more of his research, including, I am told, more info on Tarrant's mother. But while we are waiting for him I will show you some of the things I have discovered myself. That photo above has to be the image of the event, like [Emily Parker making the horn sign](#) at Sandy Hook. It is John Podesta, not dated, but we assume from the days before the event. He was in New Zealand the week before for a big spook conference, where he warned of upcoming tragic events. As they do. . . constantly. He left the day before the event. Is that photo even genuine? Hard to know for sure. The signs could have been drawn on by his enemies in Intel, to help blow this event. Either way, the man's a creep, and the signs hardly succeed at making him creepier. If he falls with the event, so much the better.

[I am informed by readers that picture dates back a couple of years, and has to do with UN objective 14, having to do with sustainable harvesting of the oceans. So it is possible I was duped by the websites below. Or it is possible I am being duped now. In any case, the duping backfired, since it got me into Podesta's genealogy, which is the important thing. As you will see, I was able to blood link him to everyone else in this hoax, and I wouldn't have included him without the prompting of these spinners. So it all works out. Thanks guys! So I think I will leave that photo under title and continue to sell it as the image of the event, just to make sure Podesta remains a prominent target here.]

Hopefully crashing with Podesta will be [Leo Lyon Zagami, who has been trying to sell Podesta](#) as Vatican Illuminati. We are supposed to believe Podesta is Catholic. Oivaycaramba, talk about a reversal. Podesta is obviously Jewish, and I would guess Zagami is too. Lyon is a peerage name. They try to explain away Podesta's Jewish looks by claiming he is Greek and Italian. The usual feint. But he grew up in a Jewish neighborhood in Chicago, which is run by Jews and always has been. His mother [maiden name **Kokoris**] worked for Bankers Life and Casualty. Her sister married a **Carres**,

likely a variant or fudge of **Carrey**, possibly linking them to the Carreys of the peerage, including spy novelist John le **Carré**. Also now-spooky Jim Carrey. We are told MI6 agent Carré named himself for a square, but that is a lie. He named himself for a recent ancestor, who was a Carrey of the peerage. Anyway, Kokoris may sound Greek, but it is Jewish. See M. Kokoris, researcher in the Life Sciences Institute at Hebrew University. Also author Jim Kokoris, promoted by Neil **Steinberg**. Also graphic artist Moki Kokoris, who is described as being of Ukrainian descent. The Kokoris did later come from Greece, but they came from the Epirus region, specifically Ionannina, which had a large Jewish quarter and was run by Jews. Synagogues there date back to the Byzantine period.

Which gives us the big clue. One of the families of the Byzantine Emperors back to the 900s was the Kourkouas family, from Armenia like the Komnenes. Drop the two u's and you get Korkoas. Or, alternately, Kokoris. See Emperor John I Tzimiskes, whose father was a Kourkouas. All the Byzantine Emperors of this period have Greek-sounding names, but even the mainstream admits they were from Armenia. We have many paintings of them, and they don't look Greek. They look Jewish. So we can link Podesta right to the Komnenes through his mother. He is a Phoenician of the first line, which explains why we have to look at his weasily little face.

Also see here, where we find the Podestas in Baden, Germany, and Devon and Brighton, England, married to Taylors, Edwards, Kings, Sharps and Landgrafs. Before that they were from Switzerland, so probably bankers. Landgraf is a variant spelling of Landgrave, which is like an Earl or Viscount in the German peerage. We have seen that many (perhaps all) of them came from Jewish lines. If we go back through the Sharps, we come to Wintons and then **Jupps**. Think Judds. This same family of Podestas also has ties to Melbourne, where they are related to **Grahams, Westons, and McDonells**. The Grahams are Dukes of Montrose, remember, and we are about to see them again.

Remember too that Podesta managed the Lewinsky crisis for the Clintons, meaning he helped manufacture it. It was another hoax from the ground up. All theater. More theater were Podesta's emails, supposedly hacked and then published by Wikileaks during the 2016 "elections", leading to the more recent Hillary Clinton scandal. Unfortunately, Wikileaks is also a CIA project, and the email hack was planned. Podesta is still trying to use the pretend hack to link Trump to Russia, but that is all theater as well. Misdirection away from real things. There is no Trump-Russia link, or at least not in that sense. Russia has no reason to hack our elections, since the elections are fake. The real link between Russia and the US is that they are run by the same trillionaire Jewish families, and that all bad blood between the two countries is manufactured and always has been.

Podesta's emails were also used to manufacture the fake Pizzagate brouhaha, which kept the internet buzzing for months, and away from any real news or history.

Podesta joined the *Washington Post* as a columnist after the election, which is apropos since the *Post* is spook paper #1, Langley's hometown bullhorn, publishing directly from the sausage mill. I can't believe any real people still read it, and possibly they don't. The subscription numbers all come from government agencies, no doubt. These columnists are only read by one another. . . or, no, they probably don't even have the courtesy (or willpower) to read one another. It takes enough nose-holding just to produce the stuff.

Also see themillenniumreport.com, which is trying to sell this event as real, quoting unnamed Intel analysts and "former Mossad" agents. Yeah, why not trust *them*? Millennium Report at least admits it was "Zionist perps", not Vatican stooges, but bends over backwards not to look at clear evidence the whole thing was theater. They also spin Podesta's handsigns as being a reference to Osiris. C'mon,

everyone knows the fish represents Christ in today's world, not Osiris. Podesta is obviously signaling his pals in Intelligence, with the fish being Christ, meaning Christchurch. Any 8-year-old could figure that out. But this means someone in Intelligence intercepted this signal and leaked it, proving Podesta also has enemies in Intelligence. Another sign of the split. As usual, the Christchurch hoax is fantastically easy to blow, precisely because we are being fed information by CIA or some other entity. It is being blown on purpose from the inside, by people who don't like these fake events.

Mike Adams is also selling this event as real, so I guess we can peg him as a DHS hire, not CIA. Though he says it was “staged”, by that he means it was managed, not that it was faked. I wrote Adams off long ago as controlled opposition, and this is just more proof of that. He is doing exactly the same thing here that he did with the [Las Vegas hoax](#): sell it as real carnage, to make sure the mainline of the story sticks: fear and chaos. Ditto for coercioncode.com, which just outed itself for me (it is the first time I had heard of it, so it couldn't have been outed for me earlier).

Now onto the shooter Tarrant. There are Tarrants in the peerage who may have some clues for us. The first person we hit is Lt. Col. Richard Tarrant, who married the daughter of Walter **Bourke**, 8th Earl of Mayo, in 1965. His mother was a **Freeman**, a Jewish name. These Tarrants were from Mudgee, NSW, **Australia**, and Lt. Col. Tarrant was in the Australia army. He was also Justice of the Peace for NSW. So our first guess would be he is our Tarrant's grandfather or great-uncle or something, and that the family has been in Intel for decades.

[Also interesting is who this Bourke woman married after Tarrant: Captain Percy **Gordon Duff**. Does that name ring a bell? See *Veterans Today*. It's not the same guy, since he died in 1941, but they may be related. Someone should ask Gordon Duff if his real first name is Percy. Just a coincidence that themillenniumreport.com is pushing Gordon Duff's *VT* analysis on Christchurch? Not a chance. Duff confirms Tarrant has links to Israel and trained with Mossad, but then—like the rest—continues to sell the event as real. As I said, all these different points of fake opposition don't care who you think was involved. It is like the Kennedy assassination: they want you afraid of everyone. All they care about is that you believe it happened. So, as with all other events, you will see the big promoted websites—both mainstream and alternative—promoting all possible versions, except this version: it was theater.

For instance, Zero Hedge is being promoted in this event by being targeted for censorship. . . on purpose. Why censor them if they were following the script? Because the censorship lends them credibility. It makes them look real, instead of like the Intel front they are. Any place promoting Peter **Schiff** is dead in the water from the first word, but they don't want you to remember that. Any place not blowing the cover of this as a complete hoax is immediately compromised. Period. How else did I know Zero Hedge was a front? Tyler Durden. Their lead writer on faked events is hiding behind this name and a pic of Brad Pitt, but *Fight Club* was a CIA movie, taken from a CIA book. The surname Durden is a peerage name. No real truther would be writing as Tyler Durden or using spook baby Brad Pitt as a draw.]

These Tarrants of the peerage are closely related to the **Pollock** Baronets, the **Saunders**, the **Webbs**, the **Barclays**, and the Bells. The 1st Baronet Pollock was a Field Marshal in the Afghan War. Through the Barclays, the Tarrants are related to that bank, and through the Webbs they are related to the Windsors and the current Queen. The 2nd Baronet Pollock married a **Montagu**, also linking us to the **Seymours**, **Murrays**, **Stewarts**, **Drummonds**, **Halyburtons**, **Hobarts**, **Hamitons**, **Russells**, **Grahams**, **Campbells** and **Dundases**. The Dundases are Viscounts of Strathallan. The Hobarts were Earls of Buckinghamshire, and so we find a link between the Christchurch event and my recent paper on Dark Mofo.

You may also remember the 1990 Aramoana Massacre in New Zealand, near Dunedin. It was also faked, of course. There, many of the victims were. . . **Percys**. The Percys are Dukes of Northumberland, remember, involved in many prominent hoaxes over the centuries, and related to the families above. The fake shooter in Aramoana was a **Gray**. The Grays are also high up in the British peerage, and have been for nearly a thousand years. Think Earl Grey tea. Or Lady Jane Grey. And guess what, they are closely related to the Percys, also being from Northumberland.

Plus, you may wish to visit the Wikipedia page on the Aramoana Massacre, since as of today you will find this:

After the shootings, sweeping changes were made to New Zealand's firearms legislation in 1992, including 10 year photographic licences and tight restrictions on military style semi-automatic firearms.



So they *already* banned semi-automatic weapons in New Zealand 27 years ago. Those “tight restrictions” meant a ban for almost everyone except state security forces. Given that, why are we seeing Ardern all over the TV talking about banning semi-automatic weapons? Why are we having to hear misdirection from all over the place about how the Christchurch shooting is being used as a gun grab? As with Sandy Hook and the other faked events, this had nothing to do with grabbing guns. The guns allegedly used in Sandy Hook were *already illegal*, so new laws changed nothing there. This isn't about a gun grab. Just the reverse. It is about creating more fear, will which cause *higher* gun sales. In the months after Sandy Hook we saw the biggest spike in gun sales in the US *ever*, greatly enriching the gun companies. There was another spike after Parkland. Do your research and you will find these gun companies had all been bought out in the past twenty years. Who owns them now? Take a guess. The owners are hiding behind gigantic investment groups like Fidelity, Blackrock, the big banks, etc., but we may assume it is the usual suspects: Rockefellers, Vanderbilts, Rothschilds, Cohens, Oppenheims, Schiffs, Astors, Morgans, Windsors, and so on.

The Aramoana hoax was on November 13, and it killed. . . 13 people. Just a coincidence, right? No numerology there. The first police officer allegedly killed was **Stewart Graeme Guthrie**, born November 22 (the day JFK allegedly died). All three of those names are from the peerage. We are told Guthrie knew the gunman. What are the odds? I guess they were in NZSIS together. Here is what we are supposed to believe this trained police sergeant did. Knowing Gray had already killed several people, including children, and knowing the guy was armed with semi-automatic weapons, Guthrie decided to face him alone, with just a pistol. He had no back-up, and did not wait for other officers who were on the way and nearby. He could probably hear the sirens. Instead, he got Gray in his sights, then called out “stop or I will shoot” and raised the gun, firing into the air. Gray shot him several times while the gun was raised. Brilliant. And totally unbelievable. No one is that stupid, not even a Dunedin cop. As in the US, New Zealand cops are famous for shooting people dead for pointing cellphones at them, or bananas, but we are supposed to believe this police sergeant—not a rookie cop—is going to fire a warning shot into the air for a guy mowing down neighborhood children? Do think he was worried about being cited for use of excessive force? C'mon!

And how old was this David Malcolm Gray? You only get one guess. He was 33.

Now, let's move on. There is a short [youtube video](#) from March 19 that is still up as of today, March 23, showing a clever way to see how the Christchurch event was staged. In case it gets taken down, what happens is that Luke Edits shows you how to do an advanced search on “New Zealand Shooting”, specifying dates from March 1 to March 13. He chooses March 13 instead of 14, because it was still

March 14 in much of the world when the event took place. New Zealand is +13 ahead of UTC, or 13 hours ahead of Greenwich Mean Time. So Luke is looking for results too early to be genuine, just like we saw with Sandy Hook. There we saw many websites reporting the event before it happened. And of course before that we saw 911 events reported before they happened, as when Jane Standley (Stanley) at BBC reported the fall of WTC7 while it was still standing in shot behind her. Anyway, he gets many pages of results, most from March 6 or March 11, from mainstream news sites all over the world, including the *New Zealand Herald*, the *Telegram*, News 18, The Daily Beast, WHSV, CBS Minnesota, *EconomicTimes*, *Post Register*, and MSN. Here are a couple of screenshots:




I will be told that time stamps are often wrong, but that is false. Time stamps are done by computers, and that is one thing computers are very good at. Their clocks are almost never wrong. What this indicates is that the event had been set-up in advance, with press releases sent out days before. The

mistake made here was not a computer mistake. It was a human error, since when the news was published on the web by these outlets, the tech was supposed to resave it on the date of publication, which would change the date to that date. Instead, the tech just pushed print, which *kept the date of receipt* on the release. Luke Edits admits that many of these results have already been corrected, so you will not find them now. Which is why I screenshotted a couple of the first pages here, as proof.

This also explains how worldwide news is able to be reported so quickly: it is pre-released. It explains how Tracy Withers at *Bloomberg* (who we looked at in part 1) was able to file a report on Christchurch within minutes of its happening. Her report had been supplied to her days earlier, and all she had to do is put her name on it and press send. But, as we have seen, these outlets get impatient to scoop one another in reporting the latest fake event, and they end up publishing before it would be humanly possible to do so, given a real event.

OK, that was my addition to today's research on Christchurch. Now let us hear from my guest writer Jack from the Antipodes, who has been here before.

Who is Jacinda Ardern?



[Miles: the first photo I found on the internet. The second was sent to me by a reader. What to notice in the second: the bridge of the nose. Jacinda does not have a large nose, and it appears she passed on the usual female Jewish nosejob, but she does have the typical bump on the bridge. What is strange is something I would not have noticed otherwise: most of the pictures of her on the internet have been corrected. That bump has been purposely nipped in photoshop.]



Gone. So they have paid someone to retouch all her online images. That by itself is a big clue here. I am being told in email that Jacinda is a tranny, but I see no evidence of that. As I have said before, the tranny thing is another project, like Pizzagate, to misdirect you into fake topics. Of course there are trannies out there, but most of the people now being outed as trannies are not trannies. They are hiding much more substantive secrets than that.

A few days ago there was a mass shooting in a couple of mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand, by a lone nut gunman and fifty people reportedly died. The whole thing has all the hallmarks of a false flag, including the fact that special response police were conducting a drill nearby at the time and were able to respond and lock the sites down before anyone could get there and find evidence that contradicts the official narrative.

In fact the New Zealand government has threatened to jail anyone who posts information on the Internet that challenges the official version. That should be all you need to know. Once I saw it a whole lot of loose strings came together in the tabs I've been keeping on NZ. The greatest danger to our security, the police in Australia and NZ have announced, is not Islamic terrorism but radical white nationalists. So anyone who is a populist or anti-globalist is now a potential terrorist. The fake shooter is so obvious he might as well have come out of a Langley cookie-cutter.

The best way to unravel this is to take a look at the current Prime Minister of New Zealand and see where the threads lead. To see what kind of narrative is being spun around her at this moment you only have to go to *The Age* newspaper in Melbourne to find a headline that reads: *Jacinda Ardern just proved typically 'feminine' behaviour is powerful.* Miles: This ties into the current cover of *Rolling Stone*, where several horrible Jewish women, including that old phony Nancy Pelosi, are promoted as the future of the world. All part of the project of demasculation, both biological and psychological, to prevent revolution or even resistance. Even after being poisoned for decades, men still have most of the testosterone, so any uprising would come from them. To prevent that, this project of constant psychological warfare is being waged against men, to keep them not only brain-fogged and testosterone-depleted, but constantly second-guessing themselves and mentally debilitated. They see women forever praised to the skies for things they don't deserve, or for being the wench-tools of the evil governors, while men are either utterly ignored or actively denigrated. This unilateral war is passed off as a battle of the sexes, but real women take no part in it. It is a war being waged by the governors against men, and only these high-profile "women" are involved in it. Real women don't benefit from this fake battle of the sexes, since demasculated men are no use to them.

In the text at *The Age* it says:

Jacinda Ardern has been widely praised as doing a magnificent job in a situation no national leader should have to face. In response to unimaginable horror, she is deliberately employing language of empathy not hate. She has chosen a message of togetherness instead of reaching for the easy, crude politics of division that have worked so effectively, and for so many, in the past. In these circumstances, kindness is a radical act.

She's barely wasted a word on the perpetrator of this crime, instead focusing her energies on the victims, their loved ones, and a nation that needs to heal. By declaring "they are us", she set the tone for how people should respond to this tragedy. The unspoken message? Victims of this crime may not share your faith or ethnicity, but they are New Zealanders and that is all that matters.

Hold the bucket and pass the wet wipes. Completely upside down to the truth, as usual. What Ardern

has actually done is hire herself out to International Intelligence, reading their scripts—scripts written to blackwash white men, anyone who questions authority, and anyone who still believes in free speech. In embracing these “Muslims”, she is actually embracing a cast of Jewish actors in robes and turbans, and attempting to brainwash New Zealand and the world into feeling solidarity with fake victims. From now on, anytime you see a CIA-front mosque, church, or synagogue, you are supposed to feel a swell of empathy and love, instead of a feeling of suspicion or revulsion. If New Zealanders knew the truth, they would be demanding the closure of those two mosques—not because of any xenophobia or hatred of Muslims, but because those mosques have now proved themselves to be CIA/Mossad/NZSIS fronts, spreading fear and chaos.

So, who is Jacinda Ardern? Her Wikipedia entry, where I would normally start, looks bland on the surface, so I did a search on her name on www.housenames.com and came up with this: The ancient history of the Ardern name begins with the ancient Anglo-Saxon tribes of Britain. The name is derived from when the family resided in *Ardern* in the county of **Warwick**. The surname Ardern was first found in the county of **Warwickshire**, from very ancient times, when Hugh de Arden recovered some of his family's lost estates after the Norman Conquest in 1066. Henry de Arden, his successor, about 1130 AD held five knights' fees from the Norman Earl of Warwick. This line can be traced to the present family seat at Longcroft Hall in Staffordshire. Ardern is a variant of the more familiar **Arden**, and is also found as **Ardron** and **Hordern**. It was later shortened to **Dern**.

"No family can claim a more noble origin than the house of Ardern, descended in the male line from the Saxon Earls of Warwick before the Conquest. The name of Arden was assumed from the Woodlands of Arden, in the North of Warwickshire, by Siward de Arden, in the reign of Henry I." It says that in *The Noble and Gentle Men of England; Their Arms and Descent*, so it must be true. The Arderns also happen to be Mormons, and Miles has told us what to think of that. The Mormons were founded by Jews and have remained a front for them up to the present time. It is sort of like finding out someone is a Quaker.

So let's go back to Wikipedia: “**Jacinda Kate Laurell Ardern** is a New Zealand politician serving as the 40th and current Prime Minister of New Zealand since 26 October 2017. She has also served as the Leader of the Labour Party since 1 August 2017. Ardern has been the Member of Parliament (MP) for the Mount Albert electorate since 8 March 2017; she was first elected to the House of Representatives as a list MP at the 2008 general election.”

A bit of a sidebar here. The term list MP might be confusing to some because most parliaments under the Westminster system have members who represent a specific electorate. To quote Wikipedia: “A **list MP** is a member of parliament (MP) who is elected from a party list rather than from a geographical constituency. Their presence in Parliament is owed to the number of votes that their party won, not to votes received by the MP personally. This occurs only in countries which have an electoral system based on party-list proportional representation.

“New Zealand has 120 MPs represented by 5 parliamentary parties, out of which there are 64 general electorate seats and seven Māori electorates, elected using First Past the Post voting system (FPP) the candidate who gets the most votes wins. The other 49 MPs are selected from the party lists. The List MPs each party gets is the difference between a party's total allocation of seats in parliament and its number of electorate Mps.”

So Jacinda was a mere party hack for nine years before she was parachuted into an electorate of her own in 2017 and made leader of party a few months later and Prime Minister a few months after that.

But wait, there's more. "Ardern put forward her name for the Labour nomination for the Mount Albert by-election to be held in February 2017 following the resignation of former Labour leader David Shearer on 8 December 2016. When nominations for the Labour Party closed on 12 January 2017, Ardern was the only nominee and was selected **unopposed**." Convenient, to say the least. This is beginning to stink of another set-up. Who would have guessed?

I don't know if any of the readers have been involved in party politics but it's practically unheard of for a safe parliamentary seat to be handed to a tyro uncontested. Normally there is a local bloodbath as the contenders fight it out and only when the final fake numbers are tallied does everyone unite and pretend it's all peace, love and understanding. Peaceful transitions they are not.

In the 2017 election Ardern's Labour Party got 35 percent of the vote compared to the ruling National Party's 46 percent and won 46 seats to the Nationals 56. It's a convention under the Westminster system that unless parties form a coalition then the party with the largest number of seats is given the right to either form a minority government with the support of minor parties or independents, or another election has to be held. Instead Ardern negotiated a deal with the notoriously corrupt Winston Peters and formed government with his New Zealand First Party.

Okay, so from all that we can say that Ardern was groomed by being a list MP so that she wouldn't be asked to do anything to offend any particular part of her constituency. When the time came the existing leaders and deputy leaders made way for her seamless transition from backbench nobody to leader of the country. It couldn't have happened more perfectly if someone had written a script for everyone to follow, which of course they did.

Before going any further I have to mention one gigantic hurdle that had to be removed from the scene so Jacinda could ascend to political sainthood. His name is John Phillip Key, who is now a knight, so he's Sir John, and probably always was. Miles: think of the Keys in the US I have exposed, as in Francis Scott Key, an ancestor of writer [Francis Scott Fitzgerald](#). That also ties in here, since—as it turns out—the Christchurch shooter's mother is a Fitzgerald. Which tells us that Tarrant is probably related to Key. One big family, as usual.

Key served as the 38th Prime Minister of New Zealand and Leader of the New Zealand National Party. He was elected leader of the party in November 2006 and appointed Prime Minister in November 2008, resigning from both posts in December 2016. Key was without doubt one of the most competent and popular leaders in NZ history. His father was an English immigrant and a veteran of the Spanish Civil War and World War II. His mother was an Austrian **Jewish** immigrant and Key was therefore Jewish and eligible for Israeli citizenship if he wanted it, but he stated that while he attended church with his children he considered himself an agnostic. Among other things he worked for Merrill Lynch in London before making the transition to politics. So he was Jewish, worked in finance, and was therefore independently wealthy.

He won three consecutive elections after leading the Nationals out of the wilderness from the drubbing they'd received in 2002 and was in line to easily win a fourth when he suddenly resigned in December 2016 to be replaced by the wooden and unpopular Bill English, who lost the election as described above, but only after winning the popular vote. So Key would have spanked Jacinda.

According to Wikipedia: "Key resigned as Prime Minister and leader of the National Party, effective 12 December, and instructed the party to put into motion the processes to elect a new leader. He expressed interest in spending more time with his family, stating that he had 'never seen [himself] as a career politician' and that 'this feels the right time to go'. Media reports described the decision as

unexpected, and noted the popularity of Key and his party.” Key was a shoo-in to win his fourth election and that would have meant that Jacinda would be just another politician. So it looks like Key was instructed to step aside for Ardern.

Anyone who has been around politics knows that no career politician just accidentally becomes a career politician. And that “more time with the family” thing is also a dodge, seeing as Key’s children were both adults at the time of his resignation. I was seriously interested in the reasons behind it when Key took his dive and it now makes complete sense, in the light of Saint Jacinda and the Earth Mother trip she’s running.

So, getting back to Jacinda, what other red flags can we find? Well, let’s start with daddy. Wikipedia says “her father, Ross Ardern, worked as a police officer”. Ah yes, but not just any police officer. He even has his own Wikipedia page: “David Ross Ardern is a New Zealand diplomat and former police officer. He is currently the High Commissioner of New Zealand to Niue, and served as Niue’s police commissioner from 2005 to 2009. In his 40-year police career he spent about 20 years in the Criminal Investigation Branch and five years as Matamata–Piako area sub-commander. **So he may have NZSIS links.**”

“Ardern was appointed Commissioner of Police for the Pacific island of Niue in 2005 for a two-year term, which was extended to four years. During this time he was chair of the Pacific Islands Chiefs of Police for one year. He also served as Niue’s director of prisons and director of immigration. He is a practising member of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Mormons). His **twin brother**, Ian, is a church general authority.”

So he was a career cop who also served as the satrap of one of NZ’s Pacific island dependencies, controlling everything to do with law and order and population movement. What else is there? His brother is, according to Wikipedia, “a member of the highest levels of leadership in the Mormon church who has administrative and ecclesiastical authority over the church. A general authority’s jurisdiction is church-wide, in contrast to the responsibilities of a local authority or an area authority, which relate to a particular area, unit, or department of the church. As a group, the general authorities are often referred to as ‘the Brethren’. As of October 2017, there are 109 general authorities.” If you can’t see the pawmarks of Intelligence all over this family by now then you need to change your optical prescription. Now let’s get to Jacinda herself, and ignore the fact that she has a passing resemblance to another rising socialist political star, Alexandra Ocasio-Cortez.

Let’s just let Wikipedia say it and readers can nominate the red flags or spot the places where she is clearly being groomed for political office from a young age: “She studied at Morrinsville College, where she was the **student representative on the school’s Board of Trustees**. She then attended the University of Waikato, graduating in 2001 with a Bachelor of Communication Studies (BCS) in **politics and public relations**.

“Ardern was **brought into politics by her aunt, Marie Ardern**, a longstanding member of the Labour Party, who recruited the teenaged Ardern to help her with campaigning for New Plymouth MP Harry Duynhoven during his re-election campaign at the 1999 general election.

“Ardern **joined the Labour Party when young**, and became a **senior figure in the Young Labour sector** of the party. After graduating from university, she spent time **working in the offices of Phil Goff and of Helen Clark as a researcher**. After a period of time **volunteering at a soup kitchen** in New York City, Ardern **moved to London** to work as a **senior policy adviser in an 80-person policy**

unit of then-British prime minister Tony Blair.

“Ardern was also **seconded to the Home Office** to help with a review of policing in England and Wales.” Okay, I give up. Did she get the gig with the Home Office in the review of policing because her dad was a cop? Is that all it takes? And getting a job as a “senior policy adviser” working for Tony Blair? Not just any adviser but a senior adviser. Was that due to her down-home experience with the soup kitchen or is feeding the homeless just another cover story to make it look like she gives a shit. Do they advertise those jobs online along with forklift drivers and cleaners, or are they reserved for people who Intelligence has lined up for bigger and better things?

It's claimed she never actually met Blair, but they would say that because it might taint her image to have her too closely associated with a man who many people now regard as a war criminal, and one of the most corrupt people to have ever held the post of UK Prime Minister, and that's in the face of some very tough competition.

Wikipedia continues: “In early 2008, Ardern was elected as the President of the International Union of Socialist Youth, a role which saw her spend time in several countries, including Jordan, **Israel**, Algeria and China.” No reference to her membership of the IUSY, just that one day she was elevated to the leadership. Nor does it mention how long she held the gig but it sounds like just another thing a budding Prime Minister would want on her CV. **This connection to Socialism automatically ties her to Judaism and Intelligence, since they invented it. No one except Jews and their dupes has ever promoted Socialism, Communism, or Marxism.**

Not mentioned in Wikipedia but noted in the *LA Times* she “spent a semester at Arizona State University, where she watched America grapple with the aftermath of the 9/11 attacks” but makes no mention of what she was studying. It appears to be there just to drop the 911 hashtag.

At a protest by farmers during the 2017 election, one displayed a sign calling Ardern a "pretty Communist". This was criticised as misogynistic by former Prime Minister Helen Clark. She didn't deny that Ardern was a communist, just objected to her being called pretty. I guess if he'd called her an ugly Communist with a massive overbite he'd have got away with it. **What if he had called her a crypto-Jewish agent of worldwide Intel? It wouldn't have made the papers of course. The truth never does.**

New Zealand is a member of the fabled Five Eyes intelligence community, which consists of Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the UK and US, all of whom can evade their nations' privacy laws by spying on each other's citizens, and then sharing the information. If Ardern was anything but an agent in place for the ruling families, well it just couldn't happen in a country so strategically located and so integral to their future plans.

I'm sure there are lots of other things that could be dug about a Prime Minister who was supposedly swept to power on a wave of media-inspired “Jacindamania” but still only managed **to get 36 percent of the popular vote.** No doubt she'll romp home in the next (fixed) “election” on the back of her angel of mercy carry-on with the present tragedy.

Either way, the obvious conclusion from all this is that she was groomed from an early age for the job, elevated to it with no real effort on her part, and all simply to be the agent in place when a madman with a semi-automatic rifle stormed a mosque and sent the live feed to social media. In case you're wondering, yes I do think they plan that far ahead. Further than any of us can comprehend in fact.

Miles: OK, I am back. Though you may have never been near New Zealand, you now know more about the politics of the place than any Gentile on the two islands. That said, I think it was a mistake for Jack to pass on Ardern's genealogy, and I intend to remedy that *post haste*. So we will make a quick visit to thepeerage.com, to see what the Arderns and Ardens there have to tell us.

The first one we click on is Queenie Ardern (d. 1990), who married Ronald Cecil **Vernon**, 6th Baron Lyveden. Her father is scrubbed, which is curious seeing that she married a Baron. Even stranger is that her mother isn't given at all, so we don't have a maiden name. Geni has a page for Queenie, but again no parents. Not much of a genealogy. But we find [a second Geni page](#) with more info. There we are told Queenie's mother was a **Wiltshire**, and the mother's mother was a Rider (Ryder, as in Winona Ryder). But hold on to your shorts, because the father of this Rider was William **Gray** Rider. How good is your memory? Haven't we just seen that name above? Oh yeah, the shooter in the fake Aramoana Massacre was a Gray, shooting up a family of Percys. Unfortunately, Geni immediately scrubs William Gray Rider, so we can't find out where the Gray leads there. But this page does tell us something interesting that thepeerage didn't tell us: Queenie Constance Ardern was from. . . Foxton, New Zealand. Wow. I told you never to skip the genealogies!

If we search on William Gray Rider, we can tease out [some more information](#). His wife was Catherine **Hussey**, who was also married to a **Fox**. These Riders were wool merchants, which is also informative. Hussey's father is listed as a baker, but I assume that is the usual joke. They often drop the “n”, so it should be banker. I say that because, remember, we are in the peerage here, and the Husseys in the peerage are not bakers. They are Barons, Baronets and Lords, related to the **Berkeleys**, Herberts, and. . . are you ready? . . . the **Greys**. So it looks like William Gray Rider took his name from his wife, which is not uncommon in the peerage. When these people marry up like this, they often take their spouse's biggest name, as indication of their rank. These Greys are the Earls of Kent, and the Herberts here are the Earls of Pembroke. So that is who the Husseys are.

More recently, the Husseys were Barons a second time, related to the Bacons, Irbys, and Drakes. They were still marrying Herberts (Earls of Powis) in the 20th century. See Maj. William Hussey who married a Herbert: her grandmother was Lady **Graham**, daughter of the 3rd Duke of Montrose. This again links us to the [Montagus, Egertons, and Percys](#).

But back to these Arderns. The Arderns descend directly from the Earles of Kingsclere, who intermarried with the Shayers. **Shayer** is a Jewish name, and it reminds us of spook David Shayler. This also links us to the **Walkers**, Cheeks, **Rogers**, **Tunncliffs**, **Saunders** and Parsons. These are the Saunders of the Bahamas, which only adds to the intrigue. Amusingly, these Earles also link us to a **Rachel Green** (see Jennifer Aniston's character in *Friends*—you may remember that both she and her character are Jewish—which is not beside the point here).

We got all that from clicking on one Ardern in the peerage. So let's see what the Ardens have to tell us. These Ardens are Percevals, Comptons, Beaumonts, Spencers and Lucys, also related to the **Berkeleys and Foxes**. The Comptons and Percevals are also Earls. It was a Compton that was a Baroness Arden, but we aren't told where the name Arden came from. At about the same time, we find a Richard Pepper Arden, Baron Alvanley. His mother was a Pepper, which should remind you of Maj. Pepper, head of BSC in the 1940s. BSC was the American arm of MI6, and *Sgt. Pepper's* was named for him. Baron

Alvanley was Attorney General under George III, when William Pitt was Prime Minister (think Brad Pitt). His son married a **Vane**, daughter of the Duke of Cleveland. This Arden seems to have gained preference through his wife, a Bootle-Wilbraham, one of whom had recently been a Baron. This Baron also seems to have risen through his mother, who was a Bootle. But she is scrubbed. Bootle may be a fudge of **Booth**.

So, we have linked the Ardens and Ardens through the Berkeleys and Foxes. And I have shown you how the Ardens of New Zealand come right out of the peerage, as we expected.

Now, Jacinda's father is David **Ross** Ardern. Ross is a Jewish name, a variant of Rose. His grandmother is a Tunnicliff, linking Jacinda to the Ardens of the peerage above, and confirming all that research. These are the same Ardens. Queenie Ardern is Jacinda's great-aunt. So Jacinda's great-uncle is a Vernon, Baron Lyveden.

The Wiltshires were scrubbed at Geni, but remember, Queenie's grandfather was a Wiltshire, which means Jacinda's 3g-grandfather was. And who are the Wiltshires in the peerage? Well, in 1950, Drayton Wiltshire married Margaret Jagger, daughter of Lt. Col. Hugh **Jagger**. I guess you see what that means? Jacinda is a near cousin of Mick Jagger. These Jaggers are also Berties, Earls of Abindon, and Kerrs, Marquesses of Lothian. Also Fortesques, Kearneys, Pratts, Montmorencys, Darcys, **Wesleys (Westleys)**, and **McDonnells**, Earls of Antrim. In 1958 we find a Jenefer Wiltshire marrying a Fane de Salis, of the Comtes (Counts) de Salis. This also links us to the Aldworths, and through them to the Ryders again. Hold on to that name Westley, since it is about to come up again. Also note the name McDonnell. Who else was a McDonnell, above? Oh yeah, Podesta is a McDonell.

We also have the Earls of Wiltshire, who also have Jewish roots. The current Earl is a **Paulet**, son of the Marquess of Winchester. His mother is a **Hilton** and his grandmother is a **Cowan (Cohen)**. These Cowans became Baronets in the 20th century. The first Baronet married a **Bower** (Bauer/Bayer/Beyer). These Bowers take us to the Cayley Baronets, and they take us to the **Walkers** again. We will come back to them.

Curiously, this Geni page that gives up so much on Jacinda's father fails to even list her mother. But we know her maiden name is **Bottomley**. What can the peerage tell us about that? Well, the Bottomleys are another peerage family related to the same people. They descend most prominently from the Lenox-Conynghams, Arbuthnots, Staples, Houstons, Molesworths and Hamiltons. The Houstons link us to. . . do you remember? . . . Hitler. Hitler's nephew was William Stuart-Houston. The Bottomleys also link us to the Vardons, which takes us to the **Rogers** one more time. They also link us to the Palmers and Phillips, which links us to Karl Marx.

The name Bottomley also links us to Virginia Maxwell Garnett, Baroness Bottomley of Nettlestone, who was Secretary of State, Health, in the UK in the 1990s. She got the name from her husband, Sir Peter Bottomley. Virginia descends directly from James Clerk Maxwell, famous for Maxwell's equation. She is also a Palmer. Sir Bottomley's grandfather was also a knight, having been the Senior Crown Agent **for the Colonies** from 1938 to 1943.

We also find a Charlotte Bottomley marrying a Sharp in 1886. Curious, because who did we just find was related to Sharps? John Podesta. See above. That may explain why he was in New Zealand for this event. He is a blood relative.

We find another curious link with [Eliza Blandy](#) of the peerage. Her second husband was James

Bottomley. Her first husband was James **Gordon Duff**. No, seriously.

I think I have proved my point about Jacinda Aldern. She didn't become Prime Minister at age 36 on merit, as Jack from the Antipodes warned us. She got there by being linked to the peerage in many lines, and by being Jewish. The Mormon tag is just a cover.

[**Added March 30, 2019:** My typo on Ardern above as Aldern made me realize something on a later reading. The surname **Alden** is also a variant of Arden/Ardern, of course! The letters “l” and “r” are closely related, as you can see by the trouble the Japanese have in distinguishing them. I had a half-Japanese friend in college, and his mother always called Red Lobster Led Robster, giving us a laugh. This explains where pilgrim John Alden came from. In [my recent paper](#) with Richard Gere at the top, I ran the Dewey genealogy, finding the Deweys came in direct line from John Alden. But Alden himself is scrubbed. They pretend they don't know where he came from. Now we know. And we know why they are hiding it. Like Jacinda, he is a peer, related to Grahams, Herberts, Foxes, Murrays/Stanleys, Hamiltons, Berkeleys, Percevals, Percys, Nevilles, Pagets, the whole lot.]

And who is Clarke **Gayford**, Jacinda's unmarried partner? Unfortunate name, to start with. Is that for real? Strangely, Wikipedia has no parents for him. I guess he was hatched from an egg dropped from a passing comet. My guess is he is a beard for her, like Macron's strange wife is a beard for him. But all I can say is the alien overlords aren't trying very hard to create a believable world with this guy. He's the first lady of New Zealand and a complete ghost. The computers probably don't even know he exists.

In fact, no Clarke Gayford is listed on the New Zealand [electoral rolls](#) from 1990 to 2010. NZ White Pages has no listings for Clarke Gayford. Geni has a page for him but both parents are private. Ethnicelebs has some ancestors for him, but their source is that empty Geni page and a FamilySearch page behind a paywall. But if we are to believe them, Gayford is a Wright, a **McDonald**, a **Westley**, a **Blair**, a Reid, a Thomas, a Jones, a Carter, and a Davies. That tends to confirm he is a cousin they hired to be a beard. It also indicates Aldern was working for a cousin when she was working for Tony Blair. To see who Gayford may be, we go to thepeerage.com again, and find Hazel Westley, daughter of **Stanley** Westley. She married a Marno, son of a **Seymour**, in 1969. This Seymour was the granddaughter of the 5th Marquess of Hertford and Lady Emily **Murray**—daughter of the Earl of Mansfield. These Murrays quickly link us to the Hamiltons, Stewarts and **MacDonalds**. Who else do they link us to? If you are sitting you may wish to lie down. The **Greys**. See [Hugh de Grey](#) Seymour, 6th Marquess of Hertford. His grandmother was a **Berkeley**, linking us to the Kerrs and Lennox, which links us to. . . the Arderns. And of course the McDonalds are also the McDonnells/McDonells, linking us to both Ardern and Podesta. I really hope you are beginning to get the picture.

Of course all these people are also related closely to the Fitzgeralds, which links us to Christchurch shooter Tarrant's mother. But that is for Uncle Owen in part 3.

Before I turn it over to him, I want to point out one final thing. I bolded the name Walker many times above. Do you know why? Because the Walkers are closely related to the. . . Bushes. Remember George Herbert Walker Bush? We also saw the Herberts above, didn't we? And who did Uncle Owen fry in part one as the police chief in charge of this event in Christchurch? Michael Bush.