

Grooming Gangs in the UK?



Not a Chance

by Miles Mathis

First published January 3, 2025

But first, I want to give a year-end report. I published 160 papers this year, only eight of those by guest writers. 152 were by me, 122 on the art site and 30 on the science site, so it was another record year. The big fall-off was in guest papers, down from 29 last year. Lestrade has been busy raising his kids, but I don't know what happened to everyone else.

It was another banner year, the biggest paper probably being the Darwin series, which sent a noticeable shudder through the history and science community. I also hit Edison, Bell, and Marconi. The paper on Lance Armstrong was a stunner. This summer I did Nixon, and thereafter I hit Columbus, another huge paper that is still sending shockwaves through academia and leading to a million rewrites. Another biggy was the one on the Wright Brothers. In the fall I destroyed Unz and then Ulysses Grant a couple of days later. The Emmett Till paper was a favorite, generating many comments. And of course the Trump assassination paper, which opened a lot of eyes and caused a lot of disappointment. That no doubt cost me some readers in the short term, but they'll be back.

On my science site I finally got around to diagramming acetylene and ammonia, continuing to revolutionize nuclear physics. In March the Jupiter-Uranus alignment confirmed once again my Solar Cycles predictions, as sunspots spiked right on schedule, driving another stake through the heart of the mainstream. I reinterpreted the photomolecular effect and solved the fractional quantum Hall effect mystery. I explained the breakdown of Fourier's Law. 2024 was the year Kerr came down on my side against Penrose, Hawking, and everyone else, admitting the singularity doesn't really exist. And in November I diagrammed the pi bond as a charge structure, re-killing the zombie electron bonding theory that has been ascendant for over a century.

So those few agents still spinning furiously and claiming I ain't what I used to be must not be paying attention. Apparently they have lost the ability to read, count, or tell time.

Can you see a pattern here? ALL promoted mainstream stories are fake, including this one. It is a perfect MEN-ARE-PIGS storyline, with [11-year-old girls gang-raped and set on fire](#) by Pakistani gangs in small-town England, just for fun. Not 10-year-old girls, notice, or 12-year-old girls. Eleven.

So let's check that linked article today at Zerohedge for 8's to go with our 11. Sure enough, we find that Elon Musk's response has generated 11.8k responses. A later one generated 199.8k responses. Hmmm. Just a coincidence, you will say.

Is it also a coincidence this same story is—for some odd reason—also promoting the 2021 fake event where some people drove through London with Palestinian flags honking and bullhoring “fuck the Jews, rape their daughters”. You don't think the Jews staged that themselves, to generate sympathy? No, they have never done anything like that. But wait, yes they have: they have been caught doing it thousands of times, and not just by me. It is the same thing they have been doing in Israel, faking the hostages, mass rapes, and babies on Hibachis, so that they can increase military spending over there.

Want proof? Well, I can show you easy visual proof, as usual, since they provide it for us. Let's look closely at that photo under title. Guess what, it is AI generated. FAKE! Easiest point of entry? Go to the photos for Accrington. Supposed to be three guys, right? Except that the first two are the same person. The computer has just manipulated the image a bit to produce two people.



Biggest computer error there? Probably in the first photo, the side of his face to your right. Look at the line where his head meets his ear. A thick black line. That shouldn't be there. It a pasting error.




[That's another obvious fake.](#) Those are four guys from the Newcastle fiction. See how they all look sort of plasticized? Their skin looks like those silicone dolls, doesn't it? Another sign of computer manipulation.

Not too good with facial recognition? [Well try this for proof:](#)

Live updates as Ellie Williams is jailed for grooming gang lies

Hmm, MI5 should have protected its assets better, eh? But don't worry about Ellie: Joe Biden will pardon her after giving her a Liz Cheney medal.



That is Carolann Gallon, who was supposedly the procuress for the Newcastle groomers below. The first photo of her tells you what these scriptwriters think of you. We've seen it before. And how many groomers [were in that Newcastle gang?](#) C'mon, you know it. 18. One of those guys, the actor who made an outburst in the dummy court, is named Hussain/Hussein. Surely you saw that coming. Northumbria Police were involved of course, since they admit at least one of those guys was an asset.

He had been informing for 21 months. What? Then why didn't the police shut this down 21 months earlier? No answer. But the real reason they didn't shut it down is that it never happened. All those bozos, or at least the ones who actually exist, are government agents, like the fake 911 hijackers. We should look closely to be sure one of them isn't a manipulated photo of Mohammad Atta.

It is the same reason Jess Phillips is now declining to open a national investigation on grooming gangs: she knows it is all an MI5 project. You can't investigate something that never happened. Same reason the Red Cross neglected to get involved in the Palestine vaudeville. So if you thought Elon Musk was being a big hero by attacking Phillips, you are misreading this whole thing. Phillips is no doubt scum, but Elon is bigger scum. It is all the usual play, staged by both sides to keep your eyes off other things, as well as to continue to destroy the heterosexual relationship.

This has been going on for a long time in England. See the [Huddersfield Sex Abuse Ring](#), supposedly active back to 2004 (though convictions weren't handed down until [October 19, 2018](#)). By looking closely at the Wiki page for that, we can blow that one apart, allowing you to see the whole project from a bird's eye. The first thing they admit is that although these crimes were known to be going on locally, the Kirklees Childrens Services ignored it. I guess you are supposed to conclude they were involved or paid off, but as you are seeing that isn't the right conclusion. KCS ignored it because it was an MI5 project from the start. In other words, they ignored it because it was just local Little Theater.

Twenty-seven men were accused of sexual offences including [rape](#) and [trafficking](#) against [18](#) girls aged between [11](#) and [17](#), with two further women accused of child neglect.^[5] Due to the large number of defendants, the court proceedings against them were conducted in three separate trials. [Reporting restrictions](#) on the trial were imposed in November 2017 under the [1981 Contempt of Court Act](#) to avoid prejudice on subsequent trials.

So, the usual numerology, as always. And we already know this was fake since the accused should have been tried separately. You can only try people together who did the exact same thing to the exact same people, and these didn't.

Right-wing activist [Tommy Robinson](#) live-streamed video from outside the court on Facebook during the second of the trials, filmed some of the accused and talked about Muslims and "jihad rape gangs", which led to his arrest and prosecution for contempt of court.^{[9][8]}

There's all the proof you need this was a fake since Robinson—real name Stephen Yaxley-Lennon*—is an agent. I have outed him in previous papers. And that isn't just contempt of court. In a real event he never would have been allowed to do that from the start, since the moment he tried to do it he would be arrested for obstruction of justice. He should have been in no position to film any accused, since they wouldn't be walking around outside the courthouse, and if he did it would cause a mistrial. Go try to do that in a high profile case in your hometown and see how long you last on the courthouse steps. It would be a matter of seconds.

We again have two Hussain/Husseins involved.

After the reporting restrictions were lifted, the Conservative Home Secretary Sajid Javid sent out a tweet stating: "These sick Asian paedophiles are finally facing justice. I want to commend the bravery of the victims. For too long, they were ignored. Not on my watch. There will be no no-go areas."^[27]

Tell me that doesn't sound scripted. Just to remind you, this is what Javid looked like:



So, without the suit he would look just like the Pakistani groomers. Do you know why? Because he is not only Asian, he is Pakistani! He is Muslim and speaks Punjabi. He was formerly Chancellor of the Exchequer, indicating he is a banker. Checking. . . yep, he came out of Deutschebank. His name indicates he is half-Jewish, which is how he got on. Javid is a slur of. . . David, of course. That is supported by the fact he had strong support from the Jewish organizations and has been active in Holocaust education. His mother's maiden name is scrubbed, maybe Cohen or something. His wife is Laura King, I assume peerage linking us to the Erskine-Hills.

At the bottom of that Wiki page, they admit Home Office reports show a majority of group-based child exploitation is done by whites, so why would the Pakistani Home Secretary go out of his way to imply they were Pakistani? Strange, no? I suggest he is doing his best to cover the fact that official numbers don't match reports in the press. They never do, because most of what is reported in the press never happened, and therefore doesn't make it into official statistics. We saw that most shockingly in the US with Sandy Hook, where FBI reported no murders for that area in the year it happened. Oops!

This is why your mother taught you not to lie: you always get caught in your own nets.

*You may think the Lennon name is the most interesting, but through the Yaxleys he is related to the Russell barons, and through them to the Ritchie barons. Which means Tommy Robinson is a cousin of Guy Ritchie. We also link to the Allfreys, the Fox baronets, the Newton barons, the Latham baronets the Birkin baronets, the Dukes, the Barclays, the Booths, the Brabazons, the Guinnesses, the Chapmans, the Peakes, the Capells, earls of Essex, and the Hays, Marquesses of Tweedale. The Hays link us to Maitlands and Montagues, who take us to Gordons and Stuarts, so we are now right at the top.